

Book Chapter

Sustained and Transient Vestibular Systems: A Physiological Basis for Interpreting Vestibular Function

Ian S Curthoys^{1*}, Hamish G MacDougall¹, Pierre-Paul Vidal² and Catherine de Waele³

¹Vestibular Research Laboratory, School of Psychology, the University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

²Cognition and Action Group, CNRS UMR8257, Centre Universitaire des Saints-Pères, University Paris Descartes, France

³ENT Department, Salpêtrière Hospital, France

***Corresponding Author:** Ian S Curthoys, Vestibular Research Laboratory, School of Psychology, the University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Published **November 18, 2020**

This Book Chapter is a republication of an article published by Ian S Curthoys, et al. at *Frontiers in Neurology* in March 2017. (Curthoys IS, MacDougall HG, Vidal P-P and de Waele C (2017) Sustained and Transient Vestibular Systems: A Physiological Basis for Interpreting Vestibular Function. *Front. Neurol.* 8:117. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00117)

How to cite this book chapter: Ian S Curthoys, Hamish G MacDougall, Pierre-Paul Vidal, Catherine de Waele. Sustained and Transient Vestibular Systems: A Physiological Basis for Interpreting Vestibular Function. In: Jose Fernando Maya-Vetencourt, editor. Prime Archives in Neuroscience. Hyderabad, India: Vide Leaf. 2020.

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which

permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conflict of Interest: IC and HM are unpaid consultants to GN Otometrics, Taastrup, Denmark, but have received support from GN Otometrics for travel and attendance at conferences and workshops. For all other authors the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions: IC wrote the paper. PPV contributed the section about central vestibular responses, HM contributed to the section on PID, CdW contributed to the section about clinical testing. All authors reviewed the text and approved the final paper.

Funding: HM is supported by a Senior/Principal Research Fellowship from the Garnett Passe and Rodney Williams Memorial Foundation. PPV is supported by funding from Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), and Institut pour la Recherche sur la Moelle épinière et l'Encéphale (IRME).

Acknowledgments: We thank Ann Burgess for her help in preparing this paper, and for her excellent help over so many years in the research reported here. Much of the work reported here has been supported by the Garnett Passe and Rodney Williams Memorial Foundation, and I am very grateful for their continued support, and for that of the National Health and Medical Research Foundation of Australia.

Dedication: This review is dedicated to Bernard Cohen who has made so many pioneering contributions to understanding vestibular function.

Abstract

Otolithic afferents with regular resting discharge respond to gravity or low frequency linear accelerations, and we term these the static or sustained otolith system. However in the otolith

sense organs there is anatomical differentiation across the maculae and corresponding physiological differentiation. A specialized band of receptors called the striola consists of mainly type I receptors whose hair bundles are weakly tethered to the overlying otolithic membrane. The afferent neurons which form calyx synapses on type I striolar receptors have irregular resting discharge and have low thresholds to high frequency (e.g. 500Hz) bone conducted vibration and air conducted sound. High frequency sound and vibration likely causes fluid displacement which deflects the weakly tethered hair bundles of the very fast type I receptors. Irregular vestibular afferents show phase locking, similar to cochlear afferents, up to stimulus frequencies of kilohertz. We term these irregular afferents the transient system signalling dynamic otolithic stimulation. 500Hz vibration preferentially activates the otolith irregular afferents, since regular afferents are not activated at intensities used in clinical testing whereas irregular afferents have low thresholds. We show how this sustained and transient distinction applies at the vestibular nuclei. The two systems have differential response to vibration and sound, to ototoxic antibiotics, to galvanic stimulation and to natural linear acceleration, and such differential sensitivity allows probing of the two systems. 500Hz vibration which selectively activates irregular otolithic afferents results in stimulus-locked eye movements in animals and humans. The preparatory myogenic potentials for these eye movements are measured in the new clinical test of otolith function – ocular vestibular evoked myogenic potentials. We suggest 500Hz vibration may identify the contribution of the transient system to other vestibular controlled responses such as blood pressure control and vestibulo-sympathetic responses. The prospect of particular treatments targeting one or the other of the transient or sustained systems is now being realized in the clinic by the use of intratympanic gentamicin which preferentially attacks type I receptors. We suggest that it is valuable to view vestibular responses by this sustained-transient distinction which has interesting parallels with principles of design of movement control of robots.

Abbreviations

ABR- Auditory Brainstem Response; ACS- Air Conducted Sound; BCV- Bone-Conducted Vibration; Fz- The Midline of Forehead at the Hairline; GVS- Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation; IO- Inferior Oblique Eye Muscle; ITG- Intratympanic Gentamicin; MSNA- Muscle Sympathetic Nerve Activity; VEMP- Vestibular-Evoked Myogenic Potential; cVEMP- Cervical Vestibular-Evoked Myogenic Potential; oVEMP- Ocular Vestibular-Evoked Myogenic Potential; OCR- Ocular Counterrolling; PID- Proportional Integral Derivative; SCD- Semicircular Canal Dehiscence; n10- The Initial Negative Potential of the oVEMP at about 10 ms Latency; SCM- Sternocleidomastoid Muscle; VIN- Vibration Induced Nystagmus; VsEP- Vestibular Evoked Potential

Introduction

The early recordings of the response of cat single vestibular nucleus neurons to angular acceleration established that different neurons had very different response patterns to identical angular acceleration stimuli [1-3]. Some neurons showed a sustained response to maintained stimuli (termed tonic neurons) whereas others showed a transient response to the same stimulus (termed kinetic neurons). These results were confirmed and extended in later research which also showed a similar distinction applied to primary semicircular canal [4-6] and otolithic neurons [7-9]. That research and later work showed how these characteristics were associated with the regularity of resting discharge of primary afferent neurons – neurons with regular resting discharge showing sustained (tonic) responses to maintained stimuli, whereas neurons with irregular resting discharge showed transient (kinetic or phasic) responses to the same stimuli [10]. There is a continuum of regularity, and we will use the terms sustained and transient for convenience to refer to the ends of this continuum.

This review shows how recent evidence about otolithic responses to sound and vibration demonstrates the value of applying this distinction of sustained and transient from the receptors to the

behavioural responses. We suggest it is a valuable principle for interpreting the results of vestibular functional tests – focussing attention on different aspects of the response rather than just treating the whole response as uniform. This is especially clear with the otoliths, but even with the canals it is useful to distinguish between responses to the onset of an acceleration, as opposed to responses during maintained accelerations. The paper will not cover particular areas in great detail since that has already been done in many other reviews to which the reader is referred. Instead we aim to bring together evidence from physiology to highlight the relevance of this evidence for understanding vestibular function testing as used clinically. The first part of this review covers physiological evidence and the second part covers the application of the sustained-transient principle to vestibular responses.

Physiological Data

Peripheral Vestibular Physiology

The regularity of resting discharge of vestibular primary afferents is associated with a range of characteristics such as conduction velocity, axon thickness, and a range of response dimensions, such as gain to acceleration, sensitivity to electrical vestibular stimulation (including so-called galvanic vestibular stimulation (GVS – DC or low frequency electrical stimulation)) of the sense organs). While afferents from all vestibular sense organs are activated by GVS at approximately equal thresholds, irregular afferents from each sense organ have a significantly lower threshold for GVS activation than regular neurons [11].

Angular and linear acceleration of the whole animal have been the usual stimuli in studies of vestibular physiology, and it has been shown in a number of species that regular and irregular neurons have different frequency responses – with irregular afferents (both canal and otolithic) typically having an increased gain and increasing phase lead with increasing frequency – interpreted as showing that irregular afferents are responsive to both acceleration and change in acceleration (jerk). The characteristics of regular and irregular neurons have been

summarized by Goldberg's definitive review of vestibular afferent diversity [10].

In summary: first-order vestibular neurons with regular resting discharge are comprised of bouton and dimorphic neurons which synapse on the barrel shaped type II receptors mainly in the peripheral zone of the cristae and in the extrastriolar zone of the otolithic maculae. They are characterized by thin or medium-sized, slow conducting axons and with a low sensitivity to head rotation and relatively low sensitivity to GVS. Irregular first order vestibular neurons are comprised of calyx and dimorphic neurons, which innervate the central cristae and the striolar zones of the otolithic maculae, synapsing predominantly on the amphora shaped type I receptors (Figure 1). They are characterized by large or medium-sized fast conducting axons. Their sensitivity to GVS is on average six times higher than that of the regular afferents [11,12].

In addition some vestibular afferents show a sensitive response to sound and vibration [13-15]. Recent research has extended this account [16-21]. The major result is that the response of otolith irregular neurons originating from a special band of receptors on the otolithic macula called the striola (Figure 2) respond to both air conducted sound (ACS) and bone conducted vibration (BCV) up to very high frequencies (>1000Hz), whilst regular neurons – mainly from extrastriolar areas – show modest or absent responses to such stimuli [20] (see Figure 2).





Figure 1: (A) Schematic representation of a dorsal view of the whole guinea pig utricular macula, with the arrows showing the polarization of receptor hair cells. The dashed line is the line of polarity reversal which used to be called

the striola, but more recently it is recognized that the striola is a band of receptors as shown in the adjacent whole mount. (B) To show a corresponding whole mount of a guinea pig utricular macula treated by calretinin – the band of cells comprising the striola is clearly visible (133). Reprinted from Brain Research Bulletin, Vol 89, Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Sokolic L, Pogson J, and Burgess AM, Irregular primary otolith afferents from the guinea pig utricular and saccular maculae respond to both bone conducted vibration and to air conducted sound, page 19, Copyright (2012), with permission from Elsevier.

A. Utricular irregular afferent



B. Regular afferent





Figure 2: Resting discharge pattern and response to stimulation of an irregular and a regular afferent. (A) Time series of an irregular otolith neuron during stimulation by 500 Hz BCV and ACS. The top trace (a) shows the command voltage, indicating when the stimulus is on. The second trace shows the action potentials by extracellular recording. The three bottom traces (x, y, z) show the triaxial accelerometer recording of the stimulus. The left panel is an example of response to BCV stimulation and the right of the response to ACS stimulation of the same neuron, showing it is clearly activated by both stimulus types. Note the scale of stimulus intensity in g at the left margin between traces x and y. The irregular resting discharge is seen before stimulus onset, followed by a large increase in firing during both BCV and ACS. (B) Time series of a regular semicircular-canal neuron during stimulation by BCV and ACS as above. The regular discharge is seen before the stimulus onset. The stimuli are far stronger than in (A), but there is no evidence of activation of this regular neuron by these strong stimuli. (From (17). Experimental Brain Research, Vestibular primary afferent responses to sound and vibration in the guinea pig,

volume 210, 2011, page 349, Curthoys and Vulovic, © Springer-Verlag, 2010.
Reproduced with permission of Springer.)

Detailed analysis of the timing of the action potentials of irregular afferents evoked by sound or vibration show they are phase-locked to individual cycles of the ACS (up to 3000Hz) or BCV stimulus (up to 2000Hz) (Figure 3) [18,21]. Phase-locking means that the exact timing of the action potential is locked to a particular phase angle (or a narrow band of phase angles) of the stimulus waveform at that frequency (Figure 3). It does not mean the cell generates an action potential once per cycle up to thousands of Hz, but that the moment when the cell fires is locked to the particular narrow band of phase angles of the stimulus waveform at that frequency. A vestibular afferent may miss many cycles, but when it does fire it is locked to the phase angle of the stimulus waveform. The phase locking of vestibular afferents is similar to the well documented phase-locking of cochlear afferents to ACS, known since the study of Rose et al. [22].

In stark contrast regular neurons (canal or otolith) are not activated by ACS or BCV stimuli – at least in response to stimulus levels used in clinical studies of human otolith function (see Figure 2B) – and so do not show phase locking. As the example in Figure 2 shows regular neurons simply continue to fire at their resting rate during stimuli which are much more intense than those which cause activation of irregular neurons. Irregular afferents have very low thresholds to BCV – at or below the levels needed for ABR threshold. McCue and Guinan [14,23,24] showed that irregular saccular afferents were activated by ACS, and Murofushi et al [15,25,26] reported that saccular afferents could be activated by high intensity ACS click stimuli. These results have been confirmed years later [18,21]. Curthoys et al. [20] tested the specific, sensitive response of irregular utricular neurons to 500Hz BCV in guinea pig and found that very few utricular regular afferents were activated by high intensity ACS or BCV stimulation. That has also been confirmed in rat: where few regular afferents are activated even by intense ACS, although ACS is an effective stimulus for irregular neurons [27,28]. It has been shown that both saccular

and utricular irregular afferents are activated by both 500Hz ACS and BCV [19].



B.




Figure 3: Showing phase locking of a single utricular neuron. (A,B) Time series of successive action potentials of the neuron to BCV at 985 Hz (panel (B) shows 142 action potentials superimposed, and the onset of the action

potential is shown by the arrow). The red trace shows the x channel of the 3D linear accelerometer. Panel (A) shows the circular histogram of the phases of the action potentials clustered around a mean of 129.1 degrees, with angular deviation 28.7 degrees. The test of circular uniformity, Rayleigh's z, is highly significant showing the probability of a uniform phase distribution is <0.001. The neuron misses some cycles, but when it fires is locked to the stimulus waveform. (C-F) Histograms of interspike intervals to show phase locking in the same utricular afferent neuron in guinea pig at two high frequencies of BCV (panels C, E) and ACS stimuli (panels D, F). The bin width is 0.16 ms. The dots below each histogram show integral multiples of the period for the given stimulus frequency. The clustering around these integral multiples demonstrates phase locking at both frequencies.

This clear phase locking of irregular vestibular afferents to such high frequencies of ACS and BCV stimulation has posed questions about how vestibular hair cell transduction mechanisms operate at such high frequencies. Vestibular responses are usually thought of as being for stimuli to a few tens of hertz, not to stimuli of over 1000 Hz. Furthermore the fact that irregular and regular afferents have such very different responses to sound and vibration raises the possibility of the use of sound and vibration to probe the relative contribution of irregular (transient) and regular (sustained) otolithic afferents to various physiological and even behavioral responses, and thus the likely functional roles of these classes of afferents. One other question is of the mechanisms responsible for the regularity of resting discharge which is now clearly established as being due to membrane characteristics of the afferent neurons [29-31].

In these studies the usual result is that in animals with intact bony labyrinths, afferent neurons (either regular or irregular) from semicircular canals are not activated or only weakly activated by very intense stimulus levels of 500Hz BCV and ACS [20,27,28]. However there are drastic changes in neural response after a small hole is made in the bony wall of the semicircular canal (even just 0.1mm diameter in the case of the guinea pig). This opening, called a dehiscence or a semicircular canal dehiscence (SCD) results in a previously unresponsive irregular canal afferent being activated by sound and vibration at low stimulus levels [32,33]. The evidence is that the SCD decreases the impedance of the labyrinth and so, it is argued, acts

to increase the fluid displacement sufficiently to deflect the receptors (discussed below).

More recently it has been found that even in animals with intact bony labyrinths irregular canal afferents can be activated by very low frequency BCV and indeed phase lock to 100Hz BCV [21]. However the response of these irregular canal afferents declines with increasing BCV frequency, and so these irregular canal afferents are not activated at 500Hz BCV even at high stimulus levels [21,34,35]. It is probable that this activation of canal afferents by such low frequency BCV is the neural mechanism responsible for the clinical test of vestibular function – skull vibration induced nystagmus (SVIN) [35,36]. In light of this evidence, we conclude 500Hz BCV is a selective stimulus for irregular otolithic neurons.

Mechanism

How can vestibular receptors and irregular otolithic afferents respond to such very high frequencies? Textbook schematic diagrams of the cristae and otolithic maculae give the impression that each vestibular sense organ is a uniform structure with receptor hair cells of similar height. It is now clear that is the very opposite of what is the case. Each macula and each crista shows complex anatomical differentiation across the surface. The receptor types are differentially distributed with predominantly type I receptors at the striola [37]. The extrastriolar receptors appear to be more tightly tethered to the otoconial membrane [38] than are the striolar receptors. Receptors across the maculae (and cristae) are not of uniform height: at the striola of the maculae and at the crest of the cristae the receptor hair bundles are shorter (and stiffer) than in the extrastriolar areas. Remarkably this height difference can even be seen in Hunter Duvar's scanning electron micrograph of the whole utricular macula of the chinchilla [39]. Morphological evidence shows apparently looser tethering of the hair bundles of striolar receptors to the overlying otolithic membrane in comparison with comparable receptors in the periphery of the macula (extrastriolar receptors) [38-44].

Most models of otolithic stimulation model displacement of the gelatinous otoconial membrane with otoconia adherent to its upper surface in response to linear acceleration stimulation e.g. [45,46]. In such models frequencies in the kilohertz range are beyond the upper mechanical cut off of the system. Nevertheless it is clear from the neural recordings that action potentials in individual neurons do respond and are phase locked to a narrow band of phases for stimulus frequencies even as high as 3000Hz (Figure 3). Phase locking of irregular vestibular afferents shows that *every single cycle* of the stimulus waveform is the adequate stimulus for the receptor-afferent complex. For this to happen at kilohertz frequencies the receptor membrane and calyx membrane must have extremely fast dynamics, and indeed the very fast dynamics of vestibular type I receptors and calyx membranes has been shown beautifully by the studies of Songer & Eatock [47]. They used intracellular recording of receptor and calyx potentials in response to mechanical displacement of the hair bundle at very high frequencies, and demonstrated the very fast membrane and synaptic dynamics of type I vestibular receptors and calyx afferents.

In light of this phase locking up to such high frequencies we have suggested that the hair bundles of the striolar otolithic receptors are deflected by each cycle of fluid displacement caused by the stapes pumping into the fluid filled inner ear [33]. This fluid displacement is small but vestibular receptors have great sensitivity: the maximum sensitivity of the hair bundles of vestibular receptors is around 0.40 deg of cilia deflection, similar to the value for cochlear receptors (0.39 deg) [48], caused by just a few nanometers of fluid displacement.

We have put forward the following account [33]. Striola receptors are predominantly amphora shaped type I receptors [37] and have short stiff hair bundles [44,49], apparently loosely attached to the overlying otolithic membrane [38]. This fluid motion within the fluid-filled hole in the gel-filament layer of the otolithic membrane produces a drag force on the hair bundle, causing it to deflect. The fluid environment is so viscously dominated (Reynold's Numbers of 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}) that bundles move instantaneously with any fluid movement. In other words this

coupling of fluid motion to hair bundle is so strong that the hair bundle displacement follows the fluid displacement almost exactly. Thus fluid displacement is synonymous with hair bundle displacement.

Complementing that anatomical evidence is physiological evidence from recordings of primary otolithic afferent neurons originating from striola type I receptors as shown by neurobiotin labelling (19) (Figure 4A). These afferents have irregular resting discharge and are activated by ACS and BCV up to very high frequencies. This is evidence that it is the striolar receptor hair cells (probably mainly type I receptors) which respond to frequencies far higher than modelling of canal or otolith mechanics indicates. This account is indirectly confirmed by the effect of semicircular canal dehiscence (SCD) on the response of irregular canal afferents from the crest of the crista (21). Prior to the SCD these neurons do not respond to ACS or BCV at the levels used in human clinical testing, whereas after the SCD (which acts to increase fluid displacement [50,51] these same irregular afferents show strong phase locked activation to the same stimulus [33] (Figure 4B).

Why is it that regular afferents are not responsive to vibration and sound at such high frequencies? One possible reason is that regular neurons synapse on few type I receptors mainly in extrastriolar areas, whereas their largest number of synapses are usually on multiple extrastriolar type II receptors with long cilia apparently more tightly tethered to the overlying gel filament layer [38]. In this way fluid displacement would be less likely to activate type II receptors because, instead of projecting into holes in the overlying membrane, the hair bundles project into the gel filament layer or cupula which limits the deflection of the receptors.




Figure 4: (A) Direct dorsal view of a whole mount of a guinea pig utricular macula showing one neuron labelled by neurobiotin activated by both 500 Hz BCV and ACS. The labelled axon terminates on three calyx endings which envelop the whole type I receptor(s), but in addition there are small bouton endings, so this axon is strictly a dimorphic afferent. The calyx receptors are in or close to the striolar area of the utricular macula. The scale bar is 200μ. Reprinted from Brain Research Bulletin, Vol 89, Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Sokolic L, Pogson J, and Burgess AM, Irregular primary otolith afferents from the guinea pig utricular and saccular maculae respond to both bone conducted vibration and to air conducted sound, page 19, Copyright (2012), with permission from Elsevier. (B) Whole mount of the crista of the anterior canal of a guinea pig showing an afferent fibre labelled by neurobiotin which forms two calyx endings enveloping two type I receptors at the crest of the crista. This neuron was unresponsive to ACS prior to a semicircular canal dehiscence, but showed activation and phase locking to 985 Hz ACS after dehiscence.

Central Vestibular Physiology

The sustained-transient distinction is clear at the level of the primary afferent, whereas these complementary afferent systems would appear to be lost centrally [10,52-54]. In those studies it was found that regular and irregular afferents projected to second order vestibular neurons and showed overlapping projections – such that many second order neurons received input from both regular and irregular afferents. Different vestibular nucleus neurons showed different strengths of irregular and regular projections. It appeared that the sustained and transient systems from the periphery were not established centrally [52,54], although some vestibular nucleus neurons are activated by clicks [26].

However in vitro physiological studies, recording from vestibular nucleus neurons in slice preparations, have shown that at the vestibular nucleus there is clear evidence of different neurons with very different temporal responses to identical stimuli (injected current in these cases, rather than angular or linear acceleration), and that these neurons can be characterized by the same sustained-transient distinction as for primary afferents. Type A neurons show tonic characteristics – maintained firing to a step of injected current – whereas type B neurons show a brief high frequency burst of spikes at stimulus onset (see Figure 4). These patterns have been established in frog and in mammals, and echo the phasic-tonic distinction of Shimazu and Precht [3] in cat vestibular nucleus neurons. In the frog there are two well defined populations of neurons with very different membrane properties and response patterns and these correspond well to the transient and sustained classes. Straka et al and Vidal et al have approached these matters from the point of view of filter characteristics, which is just another way of schematizing sustained (low-pass filter) and transient (high-pass filter) systems.




Figure 5: Example of the response of type A (tonic) and type B (phasic) frog vestibular nucleus from in vitro whole frog brain preparation to the same step of current. Reprinted from Straka et al. (59), with permission.

These results from in vitro studies [55] and from frogs [56-62] have been shown to apply also in mammalian vestibular system.

Type A and B neurons previously found in brainstem slices were identified in the guinea pig whole brain preparation, and these neurons receive direct inputs from the vestibular nerve. Type A and B vestibular nucleus neurons differ in their intrinsic membrane properties which are responsible for the very different response patterns shown in Figure 5 [58,63], and for the different filtering properties [57,64].

Probing Sustained and Transient Aspects of Vestibular Responses

Tools for Probing the Functional State of the Sustained and Transient Systems

The evidence from physiology reviewed above establishes the sustained and transient categories of vestibular neural responses, and provides tools for exploring the sustained and transient aspects of vestibular responses and how pathology may affect them. Using these tools it is possible to identify sustained and transient aspects of behavioural responses. However, is this quest valuable for evaluating vestibular responses? We consider it is because whereas some disorders affect all afferents it is likely that different treatments (e.g. gentamicin) and disorders will differentially affect the sustained and transient systems.

Acceleration Frequency

The frequency of the acceleration stimulus is one such tool. Sustained and transient systems have different frequency responses to acceleration stimulation [10] – the irregular neurons of both canals and otoliths showing a high frequency preference which has been interpreted as jerk sensitivity.

Galvanic

Galvanic stimulation via surface electrodes on the mastoids has been used in a very large number of studies of human vestibular responses. GVS is a complex stimulus which acts on all vestibular sense organs [11]. It had been argued that GVS acts only on the afferent axon at the “spike trigger zone”, but the careful experiments of Gensberger et al [65] show that GVS acts on both receptors and the axon. Whilst irregular afferents do have a lower threshold for galvanic stimulation compared to regular neurons [11,12] the numerical difference is not large and the variability between neurons is considerable. In principle low current, short duration galvanic stimulation should preferentially activate irregular axons, and indeed this stimulus is effective for evoking a myogenic response which, in light of the above evidence, we consider is a transient vestibular response – vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (VEMPs – discussed below) [66,67].

Vibration

The very different otolithic afferent responses to vibration mean that vibration is a particularly powerful tool in differentiating sustained and transient responses. Otolithic irregular afferent neurons are activated by high frequencies of vibration, whereas otolithic regular neurons are not activated at intensities used in usual clinical practice. Some caution is needed since recent results have shown that low frequency BCV is not selective for otoliths: even in animals with intact bony labyrinths, 100Hz BCV activates canal afferents [21]. But the response of these canal neurons to BCV declines as the BCV frequency is increased, so that at 500Hz there is no detectable activation at

intensities which are used clinically. In light of this we consider it reasonable to conclude that if high frequency vibration (e.g. 500Hz) elicits a response, then it is due to the action of the irregular otolithic afferents – the otolithic transient system, originating predominantly from type I receptors at the striola.

Vestibular Evoked Potentials (VsEP)

Brief pulses of linear acceleration cause a short latency evoked potential (called a VsEP) recorded in a variety of species with a variety of recording montages [68-72]. Control experiments after cochlea ablation have shown that the VsEP is not due to the cochlea but is a neural response to otolithic activation [73]. The VsEP would appear to be due to the otolithic transient system, since it is change in linear acceleration (jerk) which is the adequate stimulus for the VsEP [74].

Gentamicin

Animal studies have shown that the type I receptors are more vulnerable to the effects of ototoxic antibiotics than are the type II receptors [75-77]. In human patients gentamicin is administered for therapeutic reasons either systemically or more commonly by intratympanic injection (ITG). On the above account it follows that gentamicin should affect the transient system and leave the sustained system relatively unaffected. As we show below, the responses to ITG are now identifying the differences between the sustained and transient systems.

Sustained and Transient Aspects of Behavioral Responses

Otolithic Responses

There are profound differences in otolith-mediated responses – even eye movement responses – due to the characteristics of the otolithic stimuli. For example: on the one hand are maintained eye movement responses to maintained otolithic stimuli such as maintained roll-tilt. On the other hand there are brief eye movement responses to transient otolithic stimuli such as clicks or brief tone bursts (Figure 6). The otoliths transduce both of

these very different stimulus aspects, and we suggest they do so by means of the different receptor types and the different sustained and transient neural systems. We discuss these examples below.

A maintained rolled head position alters the linear acceleration stimulus to the otoliths and causes both eyes to adopt a rolled position in the orbit in the opposite direction to head tilt [78-80]. This response is called ocular counterrolling – OCR. It is a maintained ocular response with very little adaptation, even over minutes, to the maintained otolithic stimulus [81]. OCR would appear to be due to the action of regular afferents which show very little adaptation to maintained otolith stimulation [9] in contrast to irregular afferents. These regular afferents are bouton or dimorphic afferents and receive input primarily from extrastricular receptors, many of them being type II receptors [82], so we suggest that OCR reflects primarily the activity of the sustained system – regular otolithic afferents.

In contrast, brief bursts of 500Hz BCV which physiology has shown selectively activate otolithic irregular afferents originating from striolar receptors, cause short-latency stimulus-locked eye movements in guinea pigs [83] and also in humans (see Figure 6) [84,85]. The pattern of these vibration-induced eye movements is consistent with the pattern of cat eye movements to direct electrical stimulation of the utricular nerve [86]. We suggest these small vibration-induced eye movements reflect the action of the transient system, since neurobiotin staining has shown that it is irregular afferents synapsing on striolar type I receptors which are differentially activated by the same 500Hz vibration stimulus eliciting the eye movements. In confirmation is the fact that the analogous guinea pig eye movement response to 500Hz BCV is abolished by ITG at low concentration which does not affect auditory brainstem threshold response [83].




Figure 6: Video recordings of horizontal, vertical and torsional eye movements recorded simultaneously from the left and right eye in one subject during right mastoid stimulation (columns 1 and 2) and bilateral mastoid stimulation with a B71 bone oscillator. Tone bursts of 20ms were presented at 360 ms intervals over a 12 s recording period. The bottom trace in each panel shows the mean values and 95% confidence intervals of the individual responses in that panel. The eye moves horizontally and torsionally away from the side of mastoid stimulation during unilateral mastoid stimulation, but there is no clear response in either horizontal or torsion during simultaneous bilateral stimulation. The eye moves vertically down during both unilateral and bilateral mastoid stimulation, the response being greater during bilateral than unilateral stimulation. Reprinted from (134): *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol 1233, Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Burgess AM, Cornell ED, Mezey LE, MacDougall HG, Manzari L, McGarvie LA, The basis for using bone-conducted vibration or air-conducted sound to test otolithic function, page 236, © New York Academy of Sciences, 2011.

These results are complemented by other evidence from the preparatory potentials of the muscles activated by BCV, called vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (VEMPs). These are recorded in response to brief clicks or bursts of 500Hz ACS or BCV which the physiological evidence shows selectively activate otolith irregular neurons. In response to stimuli which are specific for otolith irregular afferents these VEMPs are small EMGs recorded by electrodes beneath the eyes (oVEMPs) or over the tensed sternocleidomastoid muscle (cVEMP) [87-89] (Figure 7). The short latency potentials have been demonstrated to be indicators of utricular function (oVEMP) and saccular function (cVEMP) [83,90,91]. VEMPs are now widely used clinically [67,91].

So in our terms ocular counter roll is a test of static (maintained) otolith function – how the otoliths respond to maintained roll tilt stimulus – in contrast to VEMP responses which are tests of otolith dynamic responses to transient otolith stimulation mediated by otolith striolar type I receptors and otolith irregular afferents. Regular afferents are not activated by these transient sounds and vibrations which are such effective stimuli in eliciting otolith dynamic responses.

What is the clinical value of making this distinction? Immediately after surgical unilateral vestibular loss there is asymmetrical OCR [78] but over time the effect of unilateral loss on OCR is not consistent, so that the OCR test of sustained function does not clearly detect a unilateral vestibular loss acutely and chronically. Presumably processes of vestibular compensation are acting to reduce any asymmetry. The data from studies of the OCR of patients after vestibular neuritis also show great variability, but here it is not known conclusively whether otolith function may have returned after the neuritis [92,93]. On the other hand VEMPs do show a clear asymmetry after unilateral vestibular loss acutely and chronically after surgical unilateral loss [94] and after neuritis [95]. The asymmetrical VEMPs are preserved for many years and probably permanently after the vestibular loss [88,95-97]. The clinical value lies in realizing that one cannot rely on the results of one of the tests of otolith function: the test of static otolith function

– OCR – which may show symmetrical responses indicating normal otolithic function whereas in the same patient the VEMPs may show the loss of transient otolithic function and so identify the patient’s problem [91,98].




Figure 7: (A) A simplified schematic version of some of the known neural vestibulo-ocular and vestibulo-colic projections which underlie the oVEMP and cVEMP responses. It is based on known anatomical projections and physiological results from Suzuki et al. (86) that high frequency electrical

stimulation of the utricular nerve results in the activation of the contralateral inferior oblique (IO) and the ipsilateral superior oblique. Electrodes over the inferior oblique (circles) record the oVEMP. In human subjects surface electrodes over the IO record the oVEMP, and the n10 component is shown by the inverted caret. Fz BCV refers to the fact that the BCV stimulus was delivered to the midline of the forehead at the hairline, and this location is known as Fz. Afferents from the saccular and utricular macula project to the vestibular nuclei, but the exact termination of these afferents in the vestibular nuclei is not presently known, so this figure represents the present uncertainty as an open box. The otolithic projections to other eye muscles are not shown. The projections of the saccular macula in the inferior vestibular nerve, synapsing on an inhibitory neuron in the vestibular nucleus (black hexagon), projecting to spinal motoneurons controlling the SCM, are established by Uchino & Kushiro (135). Electrodes over contracted SCM muscles record the cVEMP. (B, C) Examples of averaged EMG responses. oVEMP responses (B) and cVEMP responses (C) for a healthy subject. The yellow and blue vertical bands mark the time of healthy oVEMP n10 and cVEMP p13 responses respectively. This healthy subject displays n10 responses of similar magnitude, and symmetrical p13-n23 responses. Reprinted from (134): Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Vol 1233, Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Burgess AM, Cornell ED, Mezey LE, MacDougall HG, Manzari L, McGarvie LA, The basis for using bone-conducted vibration or air-conducted sound to test otolithic function, page 238, © New York Academy of Sciences, 2011.

Semicircular Canal Responses

During normal head movements, the neural drive from the sustained and transient systems are combined to generate smooth compensatory responses. For example in response to brief, passive unpredictable horizontal head angular accelerations (a head impulse), they combine to generate a smooth compensatory eye movement – the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR). One index of the adequacy of vestibular responses is VOR gain, typically defined as the ratio of the area under the eye velocity record to the corresponding area under the head velocity record during the head impulse. This clinical test is called the video head impulse test (vHIT) [99,100]. VOR gain measured in this way is a global measure of the whole response to a head turn, and as such it cannot show the fine detail of the time series of the response which may reflect the way in which the sustained and transient systems are combined. Fortuitously an answer about how these systems work may be being provided by the therapeutic treatment of patients with Meniere's disease by the use of intratympanic injections of gentamicin (ITG) [101-105].

As noted above, histological studies in animals have shown that gentamicin differentially attacks type I receptors, and so ITG presumably selectively attenuates the contribution of type I receptors to generating responses to transient stimuli [76,77,106]. We have argued that this loss of type I receptors will differentially degrade the irregular (transient) afferent system. Consistent with this account is the evidence that the human transient eye movement response to brief 100ms square wave pulses of electrical stimulation is impaired after systemic gentamicin, to a greater extent than the tonic response [107]. The new evidence showing that galvanic stimulation acts on receptors [65] would predict such a decrease in the GVS response if the gentamicin-vulnerable type I receptors were degraded.

Recently this ITG procedure for the treatment of patients with Meniere's Disease has been combined with sequential vHIT testing of semicircular canal function [100] as a monitor of the effect of ITG [104]. In many patients the pre-ITG treatment eye movement response to impulsive head rotations may be normal, but after just a single dose there is frequently a change in the exact time series of the eye movement response. Most clinicians have been interested in the global VOR gain measure since it appears that even a small reduction in VOR gain after ITG is associated with a decrease in patient reports of vertigo [104]. The small reduction in VOR gain is objective evidence that the ITG treatment has worked and the ITG injections should stop in order to minimize risk of potential hearing loss. On the evidence presented here we suggest the exact time series of the response should be examined in detail. From a physiological viewpoint there is good reason for such a change in the response pattern because it is likely that the early response is dominated by the very fast dynamics of the type I receptors and irregular afferents for the semicircular canals [108,109].

Sustained and Transient Systems in Blood Pressure Control and Vestibulo-Sympathetic Responses

There is now strong evidence that otolithic inputs contribute to early transient adjustments to human blood pressure control and

muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) [110-117]. The neural pathways for these functions are even being mapped [118-120]. These responses are modulated by sinusoidal galvanic stimuli which preferentially activate irregular otolithic neurons, although regular neurons are activated by galvanic stimuli at high intensities [11]. Given that BCV is such an effective stimulus for the transient system, it will be of interest to see if bursts of 500Hz BCV also modulate blood pressure and MSNA since that would establish the transient circuit from otolithic striolar receptors to sympathetic responses.

Escape Movements

Recent evidence suggests that type I receptors may even have a role in triggering escape behaviour in response to hypoxia, and by implication in sudden infant death syndrome. Under hypoxic conditions, healthy mice show marked escape movements but following intratympanic gentamicin (which most probably disables the transient system selectively) – mice in the same hypoxic conditions show little escape movement [121-123]. The neural pathways by which the transient system triggers escape behaviour is not at all clear, but the possible significance of these results demands replication.

PID

The existence of these complementary transient and sustained systems seems to reflect a fundamental principle in movement control. Designers of robotics have adopted a principle for initiation of movement of robots called a PID controller [124,125]. PID stands for proportional-integral-derivative. The principle is that movement controllers should be governed not by a single control signal, such as velocity, but by a combination of acceleration, velocity and position signals [126]. Controlling movement by just one signal (e.g. velocity) does not ensure dynamical stability.

There is a big advantage in such a combination especially at the onset of a movement, since the acceleration component initiates the responses rapidly. If there had only been a velocity signal at

the onset the early response would have been inadequate. The combination of acceleration and velocity in the appropriate combination provides a functionally effective way of dealing with accurate response initiation. In an analogous fashion we suggest these transient and sustained inputs are combined to yield dynamically stable oculomotor responses (and postural responses) analogous to PID control. Zhou et al [127] have shown how a PID model can closely approximate oculomotor performance.

Another Viewpoint

A series of studies from Cullen's group has approached the regular – irregular distinction from the point of view of analyzing the neural coding of information about self motion. Their analysis of the responses of regular and irregular monkey single neurons to repetitions of various vestibular stimuli leads to the conclusion that it is irregular neurons which have distinct advantages in self motion coding, for example great temporal precision. Regular afferents code information via changes in firing rate, whereas irregular afferents give information about self motion via precise spike timing [128-132]. The evidence we have presented here, such as the phase locking of irregular neurons to very high vibration frequencies, is exactly consistent with such ideas.

Summary

The old ideas of the otoliths being uniform structures functioning mainly to signal direction of gravity or low frequency linear acceleration is just totally inadequate. It is now being replaced by evidence showing that there is complex anatomical differentiation of receptors and afferents in each macula and also in the cristae of the canals. This anatomical diversity is matched by afferent diversity of physiological response with different neurons signalling sustained aspects of the stimulus as opposed to other neurons signalling changes in stimulation (the transient system). There is corresponding differentiation of neural types and neural responses at the vestibular nuclei. These different systems – sustained and transient – are differentially susceptible

to ototoxic antibiotics, have different frequency responses, different sensitivity to galvanic stimulation, and most interestingly differential sensitivity to high frequency bone conducted vibration (500Hz) and air conducted sound. These differential sensitivities are now allowing the differential contribution of sustained and transient systems to vestibular responses such as eye movements and possibly other vestibular evoked responses – such as blood pressure – as well. The prospect of particular treatments targeting one or the other of the systems is now being realized in the clinic by therapeutic treatment of intratympanic gentamicin preferentially attacking type I receptors with reported benefit to patients whilst retaining considerable vestibular function.

This paper has highlighted parallels between the response characteristics of vestibular afferents and basic principles of robotic design.

The old terms “vestibular stimulation” or “otolith stimulation” are just too vague – we now know that afferent input with very different dynamics conveys a range of different information. The evidence reviewed in this paper has pointed to the value of regarding vestibular responses in terms of the transient and sustained characteristics.

Key Concepts

Vestibular afferents with regular resting discharge constitute a system for signalling sustained vestibular stimuli, such as maintained head tilts.

Vestibular afferents with irregular resting activity constitute a system for signalling transient vestibular stimuli.

Otolith irregular afferents originate from a specialized region of the otolithic maculae called the striola and form calyx synapses on type I receptors which have fast membrane dynamics.

Otolith irregular afferents are selectively activated by high frequency (~500Hz) low intensity bone conducted vibration and

air conducted sound. Regular otolithic afferents do not respond to these stimuli at comparable levels.

Ototoxic antibiotics selectively affect type I receptors and thus the transient system.

500Hz vibration causes eye movements in humans and the preparatory myogenic potentials for these movements are the ocular vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (VEMPs) which index the activity of the transient system.

Vestibular nucleus neurons exhibit transient or sustained responses, as in the periphery.

References

1. Precht W, Shimazu H. Functional connections of tonic and kinetic vestibular neurons with primary vestibular afferents. *J Neurophysiol.* 1965; 28: 1014-1028.
2. Shimazu H, Precht W. Inhibition of central vestibular neurons from contralateral labyrinth and its mediating pathway. *J Neurophysiol.* 1966; 29: 467-492.
3. Shimazu H, Precht W. Tonic and kinetic responses of cat's vestibular neurons to horizontal angular acceleration. *J Neurophysiol.* 1965; 28: 991-1013.
4. Goldberg JM, Fernandez C. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating semicircular canals of the squirrel monkey. 3. Variations among units in their discharge properties. *J Neurophysiol.* 1971; 34: 676-684.
5. Goldberg JM, Fernandez C. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating semicircular canals of the squirrel monkey. I. Resting discharge and response to constant angular accelerations. *J Neurophysiol.* 1971; 34: 635-660.
6. Fernandez C, Goldberg JM. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating semicircular canals of the squirrel monkey. II. Response to sinusoidal stimulation and dynamics of peripheral vestibular system. *J Neurophysiol.* 1971; 34: 661-675.
7. Fernandez C, Goldberg JM. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating otolith organs of the squirrel monkey.

- III. Response dynamics. *J Neurophysiol.* 1976; 39: 996-1008.
8. Fernandez C, Goldberg JM. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating otolith organs of the squirrel monkey. II. Directional selectivity and force-response relations. *J Neurophysiol.* 1976; 39: 985-995.
 9. Fernandez C, Goldberg JM. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating otolith organs of the squirrel monkey. I. Response to static tilts and to long-duration centrifugal force. *J Neurophysiol.* 1976; 39: 970-984.
 10. Goldberg JM. Afferent diversity and the organization of central vestibular pathways. *Exp Brain Res.* 2000; 130: 277-297.
 11. Kim J, Curthoys IS. Responses of primary vestibular neurons to galvanic vestibular stimulation GVS; in the anaesthetised guinea pig. *Brain Res Bull.* 2004; 64: 265-271.
 12. Goldberg JM, Smith CE, Fernandez C. Relation between discharge regularity and responses to externally applied galvanic currents in vestibular nerve afferents of the squirrel monkey. *J Neurophysiol.* 1984; 51: 1236-1256.
 13. Young ED, Fernandez C, Goldberg JM. Responses of squirrel monkey vestibular neurons to audio-frequency sound and head vibration. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 1977; 84: 352-360.
 14. McCue MP, Guinan JJ, Jr. Acoustically responsive fibers in the vestibular nerve of the cat. *J Neurosci.* 1994; 14: 6058-6070.
 15. Murofushi T, Curthoys IS, Topple AN, Colebatch JG, Halmagyi GM. Responses of guinea pig primary vestibular neurons to clicks. *Exp Brain Res.* 1995; 103: 174-178.
 16. Curthoys IS. Peripheral vestibular responses to sound. *Neuroembryology and Aging.* 2004; 3: 207-214.
 17. Curthoys IS, Vulovic V. Vestibular primary afferent responses to sound and vibration in the guinea pig. *Exp Brain Res.* 2011; 210: 347-352.
 18. Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Burgess AM, Sokolic L, Goonetilleke SC. The response of guinea pig primary utricular and saccular irregular neurons to bone-conducted vibration BCV; and air-conducted, sound ACS;. *Hear Res.* 2016; 331: 131-143.

19. Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Sokolic L, Pogson J, Burgess AM. Irregular primary otolith afferents from the guinea pig utricular and saccular maculae respond to both bone conducted vibration and to air conducted sound. *Brain Res Bull.* 2012; 89: 16-21.
20. Curthoys IS, Kim J, McPhedran SK, Camp AJ. Bone conducted vibration selectively activates irregular primary otolithic vestibular neurons in the guinea pig. *Exp Brain Res.* 2006; 175: 256-267.
21. Curthoys IS. The new vestibular stimuli: sound and vibration. Anatomical, physiological and clinical evidence. *Exp Brain Res.* 2017.
22. Rose JE, Brugge JF, Anderson DJ, Hind JE. Phase-locked response to low-frequency tones in single auditory nerve fibers of the squirrel monkey. *J Neurophysiol.* 1967; 30: 769-793.
23. McCue MP, Guinan JJ, Jr. Spontaneous activity and frequency selectivity of acoustically responsive vestibular afferents in the cat. *J Neurophysiol.* 1995; 74: 1563-1572.
24. McCue MP, Guinan JJ, Jr. Sound-evoked activity in primary afferent neurons of a mammalian vestibular system. *Am J Otol.* 1997; 18: 355-360.
25. Murofushi T, Curthoys IS. Physiological and anatomical study of click-sensitive primary vestibular afferents in the guinea pig. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 1997; 117: 66-72.
26. Murofushi T, Curthoys IS, Gilchrist DP. Response of guinea pig vestibular nucleus neurons to clicks. *Exp Brain Res.* 1996; 111: 149-152.
27. Zhu H, Tang X, Wei W, Maklad A, Mustain W, et al. Input-output functions of vestibular afferent responses to air-conducted clicks in rats. *JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology.* 2014; 15: 73-86.
28. Zhu H, Tang X, Wei W, Mustain W, Xu Y, et al. Click-evoked responses in vestibular afferents in rats. *J Neurophysiol.* 2011; 106: 754-763.
29. Iwasaki S, Chihara Y, Komuta Y, Ito K, Sahara Y. Low-voltage-activated potassium channels underlie the regulation of intrinsic firing properties of rat vestibular ganglion cells. *J Neurophysiol.* 2008; 100: 2192-2204.

30. Kalluri R, Xue J, Eatock RA. Ion Channels Set Spike Timing Regularity of Mammalian Vestibular Afferent Neurons. *J Neurophysiol.* 2010; 104: 2034-2051.
31. Liu XP, Wooltorton JRA, Gaboyard-Niay S, Yang FC, Lysakowski A, et al. Sodium channel diversity in the vestibular ganglion: NaV;1.5, NaV;1.8, and tetrodotoxin-sensitive currents. *J Neurophysiol.* 2016; 115: 2536-2555.
32. Carey JP, Hirvonen TP, Hullar TE, Minor LB. Acoustic responses of vestibular afferents in a model of superior canal dehiscence. *Otol Neurotol.* 2004; 25: 345-352.
33. Curthoys IS, Grant JW. How does high-frequency sound or vibration activate vestibular receptors? *Exp Brain Res.* 2015; 233: 691-699.
34. Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Pogson J, Sokolic L. Responses of guinea pig primary vestibular afferents to low frequency 50-100Hz; bone conducted vibration BCV; – the neural basis of vibration induced nystagmus. Program No 57406, 2012 Neuroscience Meeting Planner New Orleans, LA: Society for Neuroscience. 2012.
35. Dumas G, Curthoys IS, Lion A, Perrin P, Schmerber S. The Skull Vibration Induced Nystagmus Test (SVINT) of vestibular function – a review. 2016.
36. Dumas G, Perrin P, Schmerber S, Lavieille JP. Nystagmus and vibration test research of mechanisms, theoretical methods: on 52 cases of unilateral vestibular lesions. *Revue de laryngologie - otologie – rhinologie.* 2003; 124: 75-83.
37. Watanuki K, Meyer A. Morphological study of sensory epithelium of vestibular organs. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 1971; 104 :55-63.
38. Lim DJ. Morphological and physiological correlates in cochlear and vestibular sensory epithelia. *Scan Electron Microsc.* 1976; 2: 269-276.
39. Hunter-Duvar IM. An electron microscopic study of the vestibular sensory epithelium. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh).* 1983; 95: 494-507.
40. Brichta AM, Peterson EH. Functional architecture of vestibular primary afferents from the posterior semicircular canal of a turtle, *pseudemys trachemys*; *scripta elegans*. *J Comp Neurol.* 1994; 344: 481-507.

41. Kessel RG, Kardon RH. The shape, polarization, and innervation of sensory hair cells in the guinea pig crista ampullaris and macula utriculi. *Scan Electron Microsc.* 1979; 3: 962-974.
42. Lim DJ. Fine morphology of the otoconial membrane and its relationship to the sensory epithelium. *Scan Electron Microsc.* 1979; 3: 929-938.
43. Lindeman HH. Studies on the morphology of the sensory regions of the vestibular apparatus with 45 figures. *Ergeb Anat Entwicklungsgesch.* 1969; 42: 1-113.
44. Spoon C, Grant W. Biomechanics of hair cell kinocilia: experimental measurement of kinocilium shaft stiffness and base rotational stiffness with Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko beam analysis. *J Exp Biol.* 2011; 214: 862-870.
45. Grant W, Best W. Otolith-organ mechanics – lumped parameter model and dynamic-response. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1987; 58: 970-976.
46. Dunlap MD, Grant JW. Experimental Measurement of Utricle System Dynamic Response to Inertial Stimulus. *Jaro-Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology.* 2014; 15: 511-528.
47. Songer JE, Eatock RA. Tuning and Timing in Mammalian Type I Hair Cells and Calyceal Synapses. *J Neurosci.* 2013; 33: 3706-3724.
48. Geleoc GSG, Lennan GWT, Richardson GP, Kros CJ. A quantitative comparison of mechano-electrical transduction in vestibular and auditory hair cells of neonatal mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences.* 1997; 264: 611-621.
49. Spoon C, Moravec WJ, Rowe MH, Grant JW, Peterson EH. Steady-state stiffness of utricular hair cells depends on macular location and hair bundle structure. *J Neurophysiol.* 2011; 106: 2950-2963.
50. Songer JE, Rosowski JJ. The effect of superior canal dehiscence on cochlear potential in response to air-conducted stimuli in chinchilla. *Hear Res.* 2005; 210: 53-62.
51. Songer JE, Rosowski JJ. The effect of superior-canal opening on middle-ear input admittance and air-conducted stapes velocity in chinchilla. *J Acoust Soc Am.* 2006; 120: 258-269.

52. ChenHuang C, McCrea RA, Goldberg JM. Contributions of regularly and irregularly discharging vestibular-nerve inputs to the discharge of central vestibular neurons in the alert squirrel monkey. *Exp Brain Res.* 1997; 114: 405-422.
53. Boyle R, Goldberg JM, Highstein SM. Inputs from regularly and irregularly discharging vestibular nerve afferents to secondary neurons in squirrel monkey vestibular nuclei. III. Correlation with vestibulospinal and vestibuloocular output pathways. *J Neurophysiol.* 1992; 68: 471-484.
54. Highstein SM, Goldberg JM, Moschovakis AK, Fernandez C. Inputs from regularly and irregularly discharging vestibular nerve afferents to secondary neurons in the vestibular nuclei of the squirrel monkey. II. Correlation with output pathways of secondary neurons. *J Neurophysiol.* 1987; 58: 719-738.
55. Eugene D, Idoux E, Beraneck M, Moore LE, Vidal PP. Intrinsic membrane properties of central vestibular neurons in rodents. *Exp Brain Res.* 2011; 210: 423-436.
56. Beraneck M, Pfanzelt S, Vassias I, Rohregger M, Vibert N, et al. Differential intrinsic response dynamics determine synaptic signal processing in frog vestibular neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27: 4283-4296.
57. Beraneck M, Straka H. Vestibular signal processing by separate sets of neuronal filters. *Journal of Vestibular Research-Equilibrium & Orientation.* 2011; 21: 5-19.
58. Pfanzelt S, Roessert C, Rohregger M, Glasauer S, Moore LE, et al. Differential Dynamic Processing of Afferent Signals in Frog Tonic and Phasic Second-Order Vestibular Neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2008; 28: 10349-10362.
59. Straka H, Beraneck M, Rohregger M, Moore LE, Vidal PP, et al. Second-order vestibular neurons form separate populations with different membrane and discharge properties. *J Neurophysiol.* 2004; 92: 845-861.
60. Straka H, Lambert FM, Pfanzelt S, Beraneck M. Vestibulo-ocular Signal Transformation in Frequency-Tuned Channels. In: Strupp M, Buttner U, Cohen B, editors. *Basic and Clinical Aspects of Vertigo and Dizziness.* Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2009; 37-44.
61. Straka H, Vibert N, Vidal PP, Moore LE, Dutia MB. Intrinsic membrane properties of vertebrate vestibular

- neurons: Function, development and plasticity. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2005; 76: 349-392.
62. Rossert C, Straka H, Glasauer S, Moore LE. Frequency-Domain Analysis of Intrinsic Neuronal Properties using High-Resistant Electrodes. *Front Neurosci.* 2009; 3: 64.
 63. Beraneck M, Cullen KE. Activity of vestibular nuclei neurons during vestibular and optokinetic stimulation in the alert mouse. *J Neurophysiol.* 2007; 98: 1549-1565.
 64. Babalian AL, Vidal PP. Floccular modulation of vestibuloocular pathways and cerebellum-related plasticity: An in vitro whole brain study. *J Neurophysiol.* 2000; 84: 2514-2528.
 65. Gensberger KD, Kaufmann AK, Dietrich H, Branoner F, Banchi R, et al. Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation: Cellular Substrates and Response Patterns of Neurons in the Vestibulo-Ocular Network. *J Neurosci.* 2016; 36: 9097-9110.
 66. Rosengren SM, Jombik P, Halmagyi GM, Colebatch JG. Galvanic ocular vestibular evoked myogenic potentials provide new insight into vestibulo-ocular reflexes and unilateral vestibular loss. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2009; 120: 569-580.
 67. Weber KP, Rosengren SM. Clinical Utility of Ocular Vestibular-Evoked Myogenic Potentials oVEMPs. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* 2015; 15.
 68. Jones SM, Erway LC, Bergstrom RA, Schimenti JC, Jones TA. Vestibular responses to linear acceleration are absent in otoconia-deficient C57BL/6J*Ei*-het mice. *Hear Res.* 1999; 135: 56-60.
 69. Jones SM, Jones TA. Ontogeny of vestibular compound action potentials in the domestic chicken. *Jaro.* 2000; 1: 232-242.
 70. Jones SM, Jones TA, Shukla R. Short latency vestibular evoked potentials in the Japanese quail *Coturnix coturnix japonica*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A – Sensory Neural and Behavioral Physiology.* 1997; 180: 631-638.
 71. Jones TA, Jones SM. Short latency compound action potentials from mammalian gravity receptor organs. *Hear Res.* 1999; 136: 75-85.

72. Brown D. Electrovestibulography: a review of recording techniques, nomenclature, and new experimental findings. In preparation.
73. Chihara Y, Wang V, Brown DJ. Evidence for the utricular origin of the vestibular short-latency-evoked potential VsEP; to bone-conducted vibration in guinea pig. *Exp Brain Res*. 2013.
74. Jones TA, Jones SM, Vijayakumar S, Brugeaud A, Bothwell M, Chabbert C. The adequate stimulus for mammalian linear vestibular evoked potentials VsEPs;. *Hear Res*. 2011; 280: 133-140.
75. Lyford-Pike S, Vogelheim C, Chu E, Della Santina CC, Carey JP. Gentamicin is primarily localized in vestibular type I hair cells after intratympanic administration. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol*. 2007; 8: 497-508.
76. Lue JH, Day AS, Cheng PW, Young YH. Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials are heavily dependent on type I hair cell activity of the saccular macula in guinea pigs. *Audiol Neurootol*. 2009; 14: 59-66.
77. Hirvonen TP, Minor LB, Hullar TE, Carey JP. Effects of intratympanic gentamicin on vestibular afferents and hair cells in the chinchilla. *J Neurophysiol*. 2005; 93: 643-655.
78. Diamond SG, Markham CH. Binocular counterrolling in humans with unilateral labyrinthectomy and in normal controls. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1981; 374: 69-79.
79. Diamond SG, Markham CH. Ocular counterrolling as an indicator of vestibular otolith function. *Neurology*. 1983; 33: 1460-1469.
80. Diamond SG, Markham CH, Simpson NE, Curthoys IS. Binocular counterrolling in humans during dynamic rotation. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 1979; 87: 490-498.
81. Moore ST, Curthoys IS, McCoy SG. VTM--an image-processing system for measuring ocular torsion. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 1991; 35: 219-230.
82. Fernandez C, Goldberg JM, Baird RA. The vestibular nerve of the chinchilla. III. Peripheral innervation patterns in the utricular macula. *J Neurophysiol*. 1990; 63: 767-780.
83. Vulovic V, Curthoys IS. Bone conducted vibration activates the vestibulo-ocular reflex in the guinea pig. *Brain Res Bull*. 2011; 86: 74-81.

84. Cornell ED, Burgess AM, MacDougall HG, Curthoys IS. Vertical and horizontal eye movement responses to unilateral and bilateral bone conducted vibration to the mastoid. *J Vestib Res.* 2009; 19: 41-47.
85. Cornell ED, Burgess AM, MacDougall HG, Curthoys IS. Bone conducted vibration to the mastoid produces horizontal, vertical and torsional eye movements. *Journal of Vestibular Research-Equilibrium & Orientation.* 2015; 25: 91-96.
86. Suzuki JI, Tokumasu K, Goto K. Eye movements from single utricular nerve stimulation in the cat. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 1969; 68: 350-362.
87. Colebatch JG, Halmagyi GM, Skuse NF. Myogenic potentials generated by a click-evoked vestibulocollic reflex. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1994; 57: 190-197.
88. Iwasaki S, McGarvie LA, Halmagyi GM, Burgess AM, Kim J, Colebatch JG, et al. Head taps evoke a crossed vestibulo-ocular reflex. *Neurology.* 2007; 68: 1227-1229.
89. Rosengren SM, Todd NPM, Colebatch JG. Vestibular-evoked extraocular potentials produced by stimulation with bone-conducted sound. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2005; 116: 1938-1948.
90. Curthoys IS. A critical review of the neurophysiological evidence underlying clinical vestibular testing using sound, vibration and galvanic stimuli. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2010; 121: 132-144.
91. Curthoys IS. The interpretation of clinical tests of peripheral vestibular function. *Laryngoscope.* 2012; 122: 1342-1352.
92. Schmid-Priscoveanu A, Straumann D, Bohmer A, Obzina H. Vestibulo-ocular responses during static head roll and three-dimensional head impulses after vestibular neuritis. *Acta Otolaryngol Stockh.* 1999; 119: 750-757.
93. Schmid-Priscoveanu A, Straumann D, Bohmer A, Obzina H. Is static ocular counterroll asymmetric after vestibular neuritis? In: Claussen CF, Haid CT, Hofferberth B, editors. *Equilibrium Research, Clinical Equilibrimetry and Modern Treatment. International Congress Series.* 1201. 2000; 442.
94. Kinoshita M, Iwasaki S, Fujimoto C, Inoue A, Egami N, et al. Ocular Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potentials in Response to Air-Conducted Sound and Bone-Conducted

- Vibration in Vestibular Schwannoma. *Otol Neurotol.* 2013; 34: 1342-1348.
95. Manzari L, Tedesco A, Burgess AM, Curthoys IS. Ocular vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials to bone-conducted vibration in superior vestibular neuritis show utricular function. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2010; 143: 274-280.
 96. Iwasaki S, Murofushi T, Chihara Y, Ushio M, Suzuki M, et al. Ocular Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potentials to Bone-Conducted Vibration in Vestibular Schwannomas. *Otol Neurotol.* 2010; 31: 147-152.
 97. Iwasaki S, Smulders YE, Burgess AM, McGarvie LA, MacDougall HG, et al. Ocular vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in response to bone-conducted vibration of the midline forehead at Fz. A new indicator of unilateral otolithic loss. *Audiol Neurootol.* 2008; 13: 396-404.
 98. Chiarovano E, Darlington C, Vidal P-P, Lamas G, de Waele C. The Role of Cervical and Ocular Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potentials in the Assessment of Patients with Vestibular Schwannomas. *PLoS ONE.* 2014; 9: e105026.
 99. MacDougall HG, McGarvie LA, Halmagyi GM, Curthoys IS, Weber KP. Application of the Video Head Impulse Test to Detect Vertical Semicircular Canal Dysfunction. *Otol Neurotol.* 2013; 34: 97497-9.
 100. MacDougall HG, Weber KP, McGarvie LA, Halmagyi GM, Curthoys IS. The video head impulse test: diagnostic accuracy in peripheral vestibulopathy. *Neurology.* 2009; 73: 1134-1141.
 101. Carey JP. Vestibulotoxicity and management of vestibular disorders. *Volta Review.* 2005; 105: 251-276.
 102. Carey J. Intratympanic gentamicin for the treatment of Meniere's Disease and other forms of peripheral vertigo. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am.* 2004; 37: 1075-1090.
 103. Bremer HG, van Rooy I, Pullens B, Colijn C, Stegeman I, et al. Intratympanic gentamicin treatment for Meniere's disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial on dose efficacy – results of a prematurely ended study. *Trials.* 2014; 15: 328.
 104. Marques P, Manrique-Huarte R, Perez-Fernandez N. Single intratympanic gentamicin injection in Meniere's

- disease: VOR change and prognostic usefulness. *Laryngoscope*. 2015; 125: 1915-1920.
105. Viana LM, Bahmad F, Rauch SD. Intratympanic Gentamicin as a Treatment for Drop Attacks in Patients With Meniere's Disease. *Laryngoscope*. 2014; 124: 2151-2154.
106. Lyford-Pike S, Vogelheim C, Chu E, Della Santina CC, Carey JP. Gentamicin is primarily localized in vestibular type I hair cells after intratympanic administration. *JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology*. 2007; 8: 497-508.
107. Aw ST, Todd MJ, Aw GE, Weber KP, Halmagyi GM. Gentamicin vestibulotoxicity impairs human electrically evoked vestibulo-ocular reflex. *Neurology*. 2008; 71: 1776-1782.
108. Hullar TE, Della Santina CC, Hirvonen T, Lasker DM, Carey JP, et al. Responses of irregularly discharging chinchilla semicircular canal vestibular-nerve afferents during high-frequency head rotations. *J Neurophysiol*. 2005; 93: 2777-2786.
109. Kim KS, Minor LB, Della Santina CC, Lasker DM. Variation in response dynamics of regular and irregular vestibular-nerve afferents during sinusoidal head rotations and currents in the chinchilla. *Exp Brain Res*. 2011; 210: 643-649.
110. Kaufmann H, Biaggioni I, Voustianiouk A, Diedrich A, Costa F, et al. Vestibular control of sympathetic activity - An otolith-sympathetic reflex in humans. *Exp Brain Res*. 2002; 143: 463-469.
111. Hammam E, Bolton PS, Kwok K, Macefield VG. Vestibular modulation of muscle sympathetic nerve activity during sinusoidal linear acceleration in supine humans. *Front Neurosci*. 2014; 8: 316.
112. Hammam E, Hau CLV, Wong KS, Kwok K, Macefield VG. Vestibular modulation of muscle sympathetic nerve activity by the utricle during sub-perceptual sinusoidal linear acceleration in humans. *Exp Brain Res*. 2014; 232: 1379-1388.
113. Hammam E, Kwok K, Macefield VG. Modulation of muscle sympathetic nerve activity by low-frequency

- physiological activation of the vestibular utricle in awake humans. *Exp Brain Res.* 2013; 230: 137-142.
114. Raphan T, Cohen B, Xiang YQ, Yakushin SB. A Model of Blood Pressure, Heart Rate, and Vaso-Vagal Responses Produced by Vestibulo-Sympathetic Activation. *Front Neurosci.* 2016; 10: 96.
 115. Voustianiouk A, Kaufmann H, Diedrich A, Raphan T, Biaggioni I, et al. Electrical activation of the human vestibulo-sympathetic reflex. *Exp Brain Res.* 2006; 171: 251-261.
 116. Watenpaugh DE, Cothron AV, Wasmund SL, Wasmund WL, Carter R, et al. Do vestibular otolith organs participate in human orthostatic blood pressure control? *Autonomic Neuroscience-Basic & Clinical.* 2002; 100: 77-83.
 117. Yates BJ, Bolton PS, Macefield VG. Vestibulo-Sympathetic Responses. *Comprehensive Physiology.* 2014; 4: 851-887.
 118. Holstein GR, Friedrich VL, Martinelli GP. Glutamate and GABA in Vestibulo-Sympathetic Pathway Neurons. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy.* 2016; 10: 7.
 119. Holstein GR, Friedrich VL, Martinelli GP. Projection Neurons of the Vestibulo-Sympathetic Reflex Pathway. *J Comp Neurol.* 2014; 522: 2053-2074.
 120. Holstein GR, Friedrich VL, Martinelli GP. Imidazoleacetic acid-ribotide in vestibulo-sympathetic pathway neurons. *Exp Brain Res.* 2016; 234: 2747-2760.
 121. Allen T, Garcia AJ, Tang J, Ramirez JM, Rubens DD. Inner ear insult ablates the arousal response to hypoxia and hypercarbia. *Neuroscience.* 2013; 253: 283-291.
 122. Allen T, Juric-Sekhar G, Campbell S, Mussar KE, Seidel K, et al. Inner ear insult suppresses the respiratory response to carbon dioxide. *Neuroscience.* 2011; 175: 262-272.
 123. Ramirez S, Allen T, Villagrancia L, Chae Y, Ramirez JM, et al. Inner ear lesion and the differential roles of hypoxia and hypercarbia in triggering active movements: potential implication for the sudden infant death syndrome. *Neuroscience.* 2016; 337: 9-16.
 124. Arimoto S. Fundamental problems of robot control .1. Innovations in the realm of robot servo-loops. *Robotica.* 1995; 13: 19-27.

125. Su YX, Zheng CH. PID control for global finite-time regulation of robotic manipulators. *International Journal of Systems Science*. 2017; 48: 547-558.
126. Mergner T, Maurer C, Peterka RJ. A multisensory posture control model of human upright stance. *Prog Brain Res*. 2003; 142: 189-201.
127. Zhou YM, Luo J, Hu JQ, Li HY, Xie SR, Ieee. "Bionic Eye System Based on Fuzzy Adaptive PID Control," in *IEEE Robotics and Automation Society ed., ROBIO 2012: 2012 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics*. Piscataway: IEEE 2012; 1268-1272.
128. Cullen KE. The neural encoding of self-motion. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2011; 21: 587-595.
129. Cullen KE. The vestibular system: multimodal integration and encoding of self-motion for motor control. *Trends Neurosci*. 2012; 35: 185-196.
130. Jamali M, Chacron MJ, Cullen KE. Self-motion evokes precise spike timing in the primate vestibular system. *Nat Commun*. 2016; 7: 13229.
131. Jamali M, Mitchell DE, Dale A, Carriot J, Sadeghi SG, et al. Neuronal detection thresholds during vestibular compensation: contributions of response variability and sensory substitution. *Journal of Physiology-London*. 2014; 592: 1565-1580.
132. Jamali M, Sadeghi SG, Cullen KE. Response of Vestibular Nerve Afferents Innervating Utricle and Saccule During Passive and Active Translations. *J Neurophysiol*. 2009; 101: 141-149.
133. Li A, Xue J, Peterson EH. Architecture of the mouse utricle: macular organization and hair bundle heights. *J Neurophysiol*. 2008; 99: 718-733.
134. Curthoys IS, Vulovic V, Burgess AM, Cornell ED, Mezey LE, et al. The basis for using bone-conducted vibration or air-conducted sound to test otolithic function. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2011; 1233: 231-241.
135. Uchino Y, Kushiro K. Differences between otolith- and semicircular canal-activated neural circuitry in the vestibular system. *Neurosci Res*. 2011; 71: 315-327.