

Book Chapter

Geoheritage at the Equator: Revisiting Selected Geosites of São Tomé Island (Cameron Line, Central Africa)

Maria Helena Henriques^{1,*} and Keynesménio Neto²

¹Department of Earth Sciences and Geosciences Centre, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra (Polo II), Portugal

²Instituto Superior de Educação e Comunicação, University of São Tomé e Príncipe and Geosciences Centre, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra, Portugal

***Corresponding Author:** Maria Helena Henriques, Department of Earth Sciences and Geosciences Centre, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra (Polo II), Rua Sílvio Lima, Coimbra 3030-790, Portugal

Published **December 23, 2019**

How to cite this book chapter: Maria Helena Henriques, Keynesménio Neto. Geoheritage at the Equator: Revisiting Selected Geosites of São Tomé Island (Cameron Line, Central Africa). In: Maria Helena Henriques, editor. Prime Archives in Sustainability. Hyderabad, India: Vide Leaf. 2019.

© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Author Contributions: The two authors contributed equally to this work. MHH designed the research which was performed by KN. The data were analysed by MHH, who also wrote the paper that includes maps and photos made by KN. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abstract

This work presents an overview of the rich geodiversity outcropping in the São Tomé Island, one of the two islands that make up the archipelago of São Tomé and Príncipe, the second smallest state of Africa in area. Located at the equator, in the alignment known as the “Cameroon Volcanic Line”, this archipelago represents a privileged area for the comparative study between oceanic and continental alkaline volcanism, and therefore between the subcontinental and suboceanic mantle. Ten geosites of São Tomé Island were selected, described and evaluated on the basis of their geoheritage value and using a qualitative system of classification, which integrates both the meaning attributed to the objects by scientific communities and the public understanding of such meanings related to its social use. The selected geosites display different heritage values (documental, scenic, symbolic, iconographic and indicial) potentially usable for different purposes, namely scientific and educational, but mostly tourism. Geotourism can play a key role in the promotion of sustainable development in the Democratic Republic of São Tomé and Príncipe, and the geosites here described are likely to ground a geo-itinerary on this “pearl” of the Cameron Line.

Keywords

Geological Heritage; Geotourism; Geo-Itinerary; São Tomé Island; Cameroon Volcanic Line; African Alive Corridors

Introduction

The island of São Tomé makes up, with the island of Príncipe and some small islets, the tiny archipelago of São Tomé and Príncipe, and the second smallest state of Africa in area. This archipelago consists of intra-plate volcanic islands, representing part of the oceanic sector of a major volcanic alignment known as the “Cameroon Volcanic Line”, which is a privileged area for the comparative study between oceanic and continental alkaline volcanism, and therefore between the subcontinental and suboceanic mantle [1,2].

The “Cameroon Volcanic Line” configures a linear chain of islands angling across the Gulf of Guinea to meet an arc of peaks curving through Cameroon. This 1000-km-long line of 10 volcano-capped swells represents a unique geological-topographic structure traversing both ocean and continent—the “African Pole of Rotation”, one of the 20 “African Alive Corridors” that provide specific milestones to reconstruct and tell the Africa’s autobiography [3,4]. Systematic studies on the geoheritage values of the Cameroon Line are limited to Mount Manengouba and Mount Bamenda calderas, a volcanic complex located at Cameroon, recently analysed in terms of geomorphological heritage in order to support a future geopark [5,6]. Like “pearls along a necklace”, both São Tomé and Príncipe islands are part of one chapter of such story, entitled “Africa across the Cameroon Hot Line”, which happened during the last 200 Ma, and is recorded from Nigeria to Pagalu Island. As such, they represent two Heritage Nodes (“18—Einstein’s Theory of Relativity” and “19—2nd smallest African country” of the Corridor), that offer wide potential to be developed from a geotouristic perspective, thus ensuring the physical integrity of their geological heritage and supporting sustainable development initiatives [7,8].

However, like in many other countries of Africa, where geoconservation has a very poor record [9], the nature conservation policies implemented in the Democratic Republic of São Tomé and Príncipe are circumscribed to biodiversity conservation concerns. The isle of Príncipe,

declared as a Biosphere Reserve of the UNESCO's Man and the Biosphere Programme since 2012, represents an example of such generalized unbalanced perception of nature [10], despite being the oldest of the three existing oceanic volcanic islands of the Gulf of Guinea, formed 31 million years ago [11].

Biodiversity in the Gulf of Guinea islands is of great interest to conservationists and evolutionary biologists, namely the Príncipe and São Tomé islands, where species endemism display unusually high values (8% for plants and 100% for amphibians, and 14% for plants and 100% for amphibians, respectively) [12]. For instance, the amphibian fauna of São Tomé is composed by five species, all of which are endemic to the island, but the conservation of these endemic-rich natural ecosystems is in strong competition with crop production and risk to be affected by on-going land-use change [13]. The São Tomé avifauna, which includes rare endemic species, also represents an attraction to specialist tours on natural history and bird watching, and can place an economic value on the island's forests and wildlife if local tourism industry is responsibly managed [14]. Geotourism can add to ecotourism's principal focus on plants (flora) and animals (fauna) by integrating a third dimension of the abiotic environment: the geological heritage [15] in its broadest sense (*i.e.*, volcanic heritage, geomorphological heritage, mineralogical heritage, hydrogeological heritage, paleontological heritage, *etc.*, as examples of types of geoheritage where scientific knowledge inherent to basic geoconservation can be applied [16]).

The main goal of this work is to present an overview of the rich geodiversity outcropping in the São Tomé Island, by describing ten selected geosites with heritage value—including those landform to which a value can be attributed, *i.e.*, geomorphosites [17]—which can ground a geo-itinerary on this “pearl” of the Cameron Line.

Taking into account the role that geoconservation materials and products can play in science, education and geotourism, this work aims at contributing to support and stimulate on-going initiatives addressing the need of intensifying geo-education

actions within the African continent [18], namely among the Community of Portuguese-Speaking Countries [19].

Geological Setting and Geosites Selection

The island of São Tomé is located in the Gulf of Guinea, 2 km (1¼ miles) north of the equator—between latitudes 0°24'39.78"N; 6°43'2.38"E and 0°0'46.37"S; 6°30'58.79"E (islet of Rolas)—and has an area of 857 km² (Figure 1). It rises from about 3000 m depth to the altitude of 2024 m at Pico de São Tomé, and has a large submarine platform, bounded by the 200 m isobath, of approximately 450 km².

The São Tomé Island displays a NE-SW oblong configuration, with 46 km of major axis and 34 km of minor axis, aligned with the approximate orientation of “the Cameroon Volcanic Line”. It is mainly composed of volcanic rocks representing four main volcano-stratigraphic units (Figure 2): the São Tomé Volcanic Complex (<1.5 Ma); the Phonolitic Basaltic Complexes, which include the Volcanic Complex of Ribeira Afonso (2.5–5 Ma) and the Volcanic Complex of Mizambú (7–8 Ma); and the Volcanic Formation of Ilhéu das Cabras (13 Ma) [1,2,20–25]. Some of these volcano-stratigraphic units are particularly well represented through volcanic landforms of great visual impact, but others display other features with heritage value, which must be considered as geological elements with exceptional scientific, educational, touristic or cultural value, *i.e.*, as geosites [16].

Different methods and techniques of inventory and evaluation of geosites are currently used to select geosites for geoconservation purposes, based on qualitative ([16] and references therein) and/or quantitative ([26] and references therein) systems of classification of the geoheritage value displayed by geological objects. Beyond the diverse properties usually recognized by geoscientists, other criteria, such as those related to the social role attributed to geological objects by communities outside earth scientists (*e.g.*, conservationists or people in the

tourist sector [27]), should also be considered in geoconservation procedures [10].

A qualitative system of classification of the geological heritage based on its content has been already proposed and reasoned in detail [28]. The content of a geological object with heritage value can be described through a set of concepts controlled by variable factors which depend both on the meaning attributed to the objects by scientific communities (defined as “relevance grade” and ranging from local to global) and the public understanding of such meanings related to its social use (defined as “abstract perceptiveness”, which ranges from individual to social scale).

Such open system was recently applied to other geosites located in African countries where there is no legislation on geoconservation and/or there is little public awareness of geoconservation; its use can be quite helpful to supplement the existing nature conservation status of areas already legally protected for reasons other than geological ones, as it frequently happens in Africa [29].

In this work, the selection of geosites were based on the above mentioned criteria, *i.e.*, on the heritage contents they display, which can be ranked into three categories of increasing importance: rank I—indicial contents (assigned to geological objects exhibiting clear relation between elementary geological processes and their resulting products); rank II—documental, iconographic, and symbolic contents; and rank III—conceptual and scenic contents [28].




Figure 1: Geographic location and geological setting of São Tomé island within the “Cameroon Volcanic Line” of West Central Africa, where alkaline complexes of plutonic origin co-occur with Cenozoic volcanic structures [20]. Reproduced with permission from the Geological Society of America, 2014.




Figure 2: Geological map of São Tomé island and location of the selected geosites (modified after Caldeira *et al.*, 2013 [24]).

Results and Discussion

The selected geosites of São Tomé to be considered under a geoconservation perspective include geological objects displaying different contents and representing different types of geoheritage (Table 1). Some of them are assignable to more than one type of content, which increases its global heritage value and justifies the adoption of measures aimed at its geoconservation.

Table 1: Types of geoheritage recognizable at São Tomé Island.

| Geosites | Type of Geoheritage |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Cascata do Rio do Ouro | Geomorphological; Volcanological |
| 2. Boca do Inferno | Volcanological |
| 3. Pico do Cão Grande | Geomorphological; Volcanological |
| 4. Lagoa Azul | Geomorphological; Volcanological |
| 5. Nascente Queluz | Hydrogeological |
| 6. Lagoa Amélia | Geomorphological; Volcanological |
| 7. Ilhéu das Rolas | Geomorphological; Volcanological |
| 8. Túnel de Santa Catarina | Volcanological |
| 9. Ilhéu das Cabras | Volcanological |
| 10. Pico de São Tomé | Geomorphological; Volcanological |

Cascata do Rio do Ouro

The Cascata do Rio do Ouro (“Rio do Ouro waterfall”) is located inside the Agostinho Neto farm, formerly known as Rio do Ouro, one of the most extensive plantations or “roça” settled during the 19th century by the Portuguese (Lobata district; coordinates 0°22’22.90”N; 6°38’53.40”E). The waterfall is approximately 15 m high and it flows along a 30 m width outcrop showing well developed columnar disjunction; nearby, it is also possible to observe laminated basaltic rocks, all representing the São Tomé Volcanic Complex [24] (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Rio do Ouro waterfall showing columnar disjunction of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex.

These volcanic features represent the retraction in all directions of lavas experiencing a slow cool-down, resulting in a hexagonal pattern due to the homogeneity of the rock and gradient of heat loss. By corresponding to a highly demonstrative record particularly relevant for the understanding of significant geologic changes assigned to a region, such geometrical features display documental value, which is complemented by the highly recreational function of the waterfall, which corresponds to scenic value [28].

Boca do Inferno

The Boca do Inferno geosite is located at Cantagalo District (coordinates 0°12'48.26"N; 6°43'34.97"E) and is a small channel resulting from marine erosion of basaltic lavas of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex [24] (Figure 4). Columnar disjunction and pillow lavas are typical volcanic features well represented along the shoreline, which represent documental value. The characteristic pillow-shaped structures of the pillow lavas suggest their origin in an obvious way, *i.e.*, the extrusion of the lava under water. By exhibiting clear relation between elementary

geological processes and their resulting products, the presence of these volcanic structures can support the recognition of indicial value in this geosite [28].

The name of the site (which means “mouth of Hell”) came from a local legend that dates back to the slavery times. A plantation manager was so cruel and demonic that every time he wanted to travel to Portugal the local people thought that he disappeared by the channel that they believed to have a direct and instantaneous connection to the capital of the Kingdom. The return of this feared manager to São Tomé could happen at any moment, using the same way, and even today, the place is related to danger and fear, thus displaying typical symbolic value [28].



(a)



(b)

Figure 4: (a) The Boca do Inferno geosite; (b) The well-developed prismatic disjunction in basaltic lavas of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex.

Pico do Cão Grande

The Pico do Cão Grande (“big dog peak”) is located on the south of the island, at Caué District (coordinates $0^{\circ}7'05.17''\text{N}$; $6^{\circ}33'57.56''\text{E}$), and integrates the Ôbo Natural Park, a subject of particular interest for its biodiversity ([12,30–34] and references therein). It corresponds to a 663 m high exhumed phonolitic chimney of 3 Ma [15] belonging to the Volcanic Complex of Ribeira Afonso [20], thus displaying iconographic content [24]. Due to its scenic value, it is often depicted on postcards and stamps of the island; this elevation of volcanic origin is usually covered by a mist resulting from abundant annual rainfall (between 4500 and 5000 mm), making the surface very slippery, but quite attractive for alpinists (Figure 5).



Figure 5: The Pico do Cão Grande, a phonolitic chimney of the Volcanic Complex of Ribeira Afonso.

Lagoa Azul

The Lagoa Azul (“blue lagoon”) geosite is a small beach located approximately 17 km north of the city of São Tomé (Lobata District; coordinates 0°24’23.10”N; 6°36’36.53”E), and is also frequently depicted on postcards due to its scenic value [28]. Its waters of intense turquoise color houses one of the most important coral reefs of the island (Figure 6). On the north flank of the beach is the Morro Carregado, which comprises pyroclastic materials of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex, sometimes displaying rhythmic stratification; it corresponds to the earliest volcanic phase of the Complex (<0.4 Ma) [17,18,20] and displays documental value [28].

Nascente Queluz

The Nascente Queluz (“Queluz spring”) geosite is a spring of carbonated water related to the earliest volcanic phase of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex and is part of a group of similar springs (Madre de Deus, Boa Entrada, Pedra Maria, Potó, Caixão Grande and Palha) disposed along a NE-SW and NNE-SSW axis, the predominant directions of the volcanic edifices [20] and

of the “Cameroon Volcanic Line”. It is located at the Mé-Zochi District (coordinates 0°20'2.01"N; 6°38'45.54"E).

Recent analyses have shown that the waters are mainly of the $\text{Ca} \pm \text{Mg} \pm \text{HCO}_3$ type, and that they result from CO_2 solubilization in a shallow aquifer at low temperature, being of meteoric origin, with no discernible magmatic input [35]. The heritage content assignable to this geosite (iconographic) is related to specialized knowledge of particular geological phenomena (the hydrological cycle), usually perceived as exotic by non-specialists (Figure 7a).

This water presents intense gasification, with an average temperature of 25 °C, a pH of 4.25 and a conductivity of 71 mS/m, and is extensively used by the local population, mainly for consumption (Figure 7b). Being a highly socialized geosite largely used by the public due to reasons other than geological ones, the Nascente Queluz also displays symbolic value.



Figure 6: The Lagoa Azul geosite with its waters of intense turquoise color and the Morro Carregado outcrop, composed of pyroclastic materials of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex. The 7 m high lighthouse was designed and built by the Portuguese Navy and opened on 12 September 1997.




Figure 7: (a) Detail of the Nascente Queluz; (b) The Nascente Queluz geosite, provided with protective structure.

Lagoa Amélia

The Lagoa Amélia (“Amélia lagoon”) geosite is fundamentally a structural surface, little affected by erosion, representing an ancient volcanic crater completely covered with vegetation, and is a typical object displaying indicial value (Figure 8). The geosite is located in the Mé-Zochi District, approximately 1 h 30 min walk from the road, at Bom Sucesso (coordinates $0^{\circ}16'52.78''\text{N}$; $6^{\circ}35'26.28''\text{E}$; altitude 1428 m), in the Ôbo Natural Park. It corresponds to a phreatomagmatic crater whose activity is responsible for the well stratified and heterometric pyroclastic deposits containing abundant angular lithic fragments of basaltic and trachytic composition of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex [24]. As so, documental value can also be assigned to this geosite.



Figure 8: Panoramic view of Lagoa Amélia, a structural surface embedded in the crater of an extinct volcano.

Lagoa Amélia is a very unusual and mythical place filled with legends such as the one that its name originated from—“Amelia” was a young Portuguese girl that rushed there many years ago as a result of a tragic love—and, as such, it also displays symbolic value. Moreover, it is one of the best known and easily accessible places to observe mountain forest and corresponding avifauna, with altitudes ranging between 1200 m and 1500 m.

Ilhéu das Rolas

The Ilhéu das Rolas (“islet of doves”) geosite is located at the equator line (Caué District), a highly socialized place largely used by the tourists of the neighboring resort due to reasons other than geological ones, thus displaying symbolic content. It was formed by two recent, well-preserved volcanic cones that emitted lapilli-type pyroclasts and basaltic lavas (sometimes associated with scoriaceous lavas) during the latest phase of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex, dated < 0.4 Ma [21,22,24]. The lavas completely surround the two cones and they are mainly located below the present day sea level; the onshore portion slightly exceeds the 20 m altitude (in Ilhéu das Rolas) and can be

observed on the coast where they give rise to low cliffs (Figure 9a). For these reasons, this geosite also displays documental content. The belvedere located at the equator line provides high recreational function with its panoramic view over the facing Lama-Porco and S. Francisco volcanic cones located at the southern end of São Tomé Island, thus displaying scenic value [28]. It has a small monument supporting an armillary sphere that relies on a large polychrome pavement, with the representation of the world map, where the location of the equator is marked (Figure 9b).



Figure 9: The Ilhéu das Rolas geosite (a), crossed by the equator line, which has a panoramic belvedere that provides a privileged view of the surrounding landscape (b).

Túnel de Santa Catarina

The Túnel de Santa Catarina (“Santa Catarina tunnel”) is located at the west coast of the island (coordinates $0^{\circ}18'32.46''\text{N}$; $6^{\circ}29'22.93''\text{E}$; Lembá District) drilling an outcrop that extends to the beach, which is the only anthropogenic structure of this kind in the country (Figure 10). The outcrop shows *lahar* deposits intercalated in the basaltic lavas, which indicate discontinuities in the volcanic activity responsible for the formation of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex [24]. Iconographic content can be assigned to this geosite, considering that the *lahar* deposits, as a resulting structure of a particular geological phenomenon (volcanic mudflow), show clear physical relation between the event and its effects [28].



Figure 10: Sequence of basaltic lavas of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex at the west coastal cliffs of the island.

Ilhéu das Cabras

The Ilhéu das Cabras (“islet of goats”) has 1 km long by 250 m and is located 2 km NE of Cobo Beach (coordinates $0^{\circ}24'26.90''\text{N}$; $6^{\circ}42'52.61''\text{E}$; Água Grande District). It consists of two NE-SW direction trachytic hills, aligned with the Cameroon Volcanic Line; the one situated northeast reaches 80 m high (Figure 11a). The outcrops forming this islet rise from the shallow abrasion platform as reliefs resulting of differential erosion of the massive structure and acid composition of the rocks (Figure 11b). From a structural point of view, they represent one or two volcanic chimneys exhumed by erosion, forming the earliest known traces of volcanism responsible for the formation of the São Tomé Island (13 Ma ago) [1,24].

The Ilhéu das Cabras is the type-locality of the Volcanic Formation of Ilhéu das Cabras (13 Ma), a highly demonstrative record particularly relevant for the understanding of the geological history of São Tomé [1,2,21–24], and hence it presents documental value [28].



Figure 11: Aerial view of the Ilhéu das Cabras geosite **(a)** showing the two trachytic reliefs **(b)**, aligned with the NE-SW direction of the Cameroon Volcanic Line.

Pico de São Tomé

The Pico de São Tomé (“São Tomé peak”) is located in the northern part of the Ôbo Natural Park, in an eccentric position, northwest of the geographic center of the island (coordinates $0^{\circ}16'10.48''\text{N}$; $6^{\circ}32'28.78''\text{E}$; Lembá District). The relief is characterized by a continuous and regular ascent from the coast to the line of greatest elevation, which reaches 2024 m (Figure 12a,b). Interpreted as a shield volcano that, being deeply carved by fluvial erosion, stands very rough. The relief of the Pico de São Tomé is related to the fissure volcanism type of the main and latest volcanic phase of the São Tomé Volcanic Complex that covers the central and northwestern part of the island [22]. Besides its documental and landscape value, by representing the highest point of the island and the country this geosite also possesses symbolic and scenic value [28].



Figure 12: (a) The Pico de São Tomé observed from the Contador river bridge (Lembá district); (b) The top of Pico de São Tomé observed from the peak table.

Qualitative Evaluation of the São Tomé Geoheritage

The scope of geoconservation is the conservation of geosites, by means of specific inventory, evaluation, conservation, valuing and monitoring procedures [36]. Inventory and evaluation procedures are major steps on the implementation of subsequent conservation, valuing and monitoring actions of the geological heritage [16]. The geoheritage value recognized in the ten selected geosites of São Tomé Island are diverse, including geological objects with local and regional relevance when evaluated from a scientific perspective (geosites 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10). However, many of them also have other features with heritage value, related to the potential for been appreciated by non-specialists on earth sciences, namely those displaying scenic and symbolic (geosites 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 10).

Moreover, most of the selected geosites display more than one type of value, the heritage value then being substantially increased, as well as the need for implementing measures that ensure its physical integrity. Priority in geoconservation measures can be determined on the basis of the heritage value obtained through the global qualitative assessment of each geosite (sum of ranks) and its vulnerability to natural and anthropic threats (Figure 13, Table 2).




Figure 13: Heritage values of São Tomé geosites. Most of the geosites display more than one type of value, its heritage value being then substantially increased.

The Ilhéu das Rolas and Pico de São Tomé represent geosites holding the most heritage value on the island. The first one is only accessible by boat and has a 4-star resort which assumes social responsibility in their activities [37]; the second one is already included in a protected area with 235 km² surface (the Ôbo Natural Park), mainly focused on the preservation of biodiversity [38]. Geoconservation measures for these geosites include classification, valuing and monitoring procedures. The Túnel de Santa Catarina and Ilhéu das Cabras geosites are qualified within the lowest ranks. The first one is mainly of interest for educational purposes; it has good accessibility for fieldwork activities with students, and can be used as an educational resource of great importance in promoting education for sustainable development, mobilizing knowledge inherent to the Earth Sciences [39]. Besides classification, valuing—through the elaboration of interpretative panels and field guides other than generalist ones ([40,41], among others)—and monitoring procedures are particularly important geoconservation actions for this geosite. The second one is a small inhabited islet, only accessible by boat, and interesting mainly for academic studies. Classification and monitoring can be enough in this case.

Table 2: Qualitative evaluation of the São Tomé geosites necessary to support geoconservation initiatives that ensures their physical integrity.

| Geosite | Geoheritage Contents | Qualitative Assessment | Geoconservation Priority |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Cascata do Rio do Ouro | Documental | Rank II | 2nd |
| | Scenic | Rank III | |
| 2. Boca do Inferno | Indicial | Rank I | 2nd |
| | Documental | Rank II | |
| | Symbolic | Rank II | |
| 3. Pico do Cão Grande | Iconographic | Rank II | 2nd |
| | Scenic | Rank III | |
| 4. Lagoa Azul | Documental | Rank II | 2nd |
| | Scenic | Rank III | |
| 5. Nascente Queluz | Iconographic | Rank II | 3rd |
| | Symbolic | Rank II | |
| 6. Lagoa Amélia | Indicial | Rank I | 3rd |
| | Documental | Rank II | |
| 7. Ilhéu das Rolas | Documental | Rank II | 1st |
| | Symbolic | Rank II | |
| | Scenic | Rank III | |
| 8. Túnel de Santa Catarina | Iconographic | Rank II | 4th |
| 9. Ilhéu das Cabras | Documental | Rank II | 4th |
| 10. Pico de São Tomé | Documental | Rank II | 1st |
| | Symbolic | Rank II | |
| | Scenic | Rank III | |

Geotourism Potential of São Tomé

The São Tomé geosites characterized and evaluated in the present work, being notable representatives of natural heritage, can be considered as a geotouristic destination and, as so, they must be protected by nature conservation and land-use planning policies [42]. Such task depends mainly on the political will of local leaders, which is reflected in the strategic development options for the country.

Tourism is an economic activity with the greatest potential of this small African state, namely the emerging nature tourism, ecotourism, scientific tourism, watching tourism, and supportive

and socially responsible tourism [43]. Geotourism as geological and geomorphologically-focused sustainable tourism [44] can play a key role in the promotion of sustainable development in the Democratic Republic of São Tomé and Príncipe, ranked 144 out of 186 on the 2013 Human Development Index [45]. The initiation and development of geotourism and geoconservation in this country can be designed through a Local Geodiversity Action Plan, as proposed for a similar context in the Mauritius, which includes an inventory of geosites, tourism analysis, a programme of stakeholder collaboration and geotourism product development [46]. Earth scientists are crucial in inventory activities and subsequent evaluation and classification of geosites as the value of the sites can only be fully understood through professional interpretation of the observed features [47]; their expertise is also required to support geotourism activities leading to tourist satisfaction—*e.g.*, as advisors in the implementation of education/interpretative programmes and elaboration of specific resources such as interpretative panels and geo-itineraries, as already started and which are mainly aimed at schoolchildren, to whom geosites 2 and 5 are particularly appropriate [48].

Many geosites of São Tomé Island display heritage contents which can meet different tourist expectations. The non-specialized public (1, 3, 4, 7 and 10), as well as geotourists with a strong scientific background (6, 8 and 9), can enrich their travelling experience to the island by visiting the appropriate geosites; as so, the inclusion of each geosite in geo-itineraries should take into account their main potential use (Figure 14).




Figure 14: Main potential use of each São Tomé geosite described in the present work.

Conclusions

Africa is the continent with the deepest and richest geological, biological and cultural heritage [10], boasting the world's geodiversity hotspot [36]. However, Africa has only 96 (8.56 %) properties in the World Heritage List of UNESCO (53 cultural sites, 38 natural sites and five mixed sites) inscribed by 35 State Parties [49]. So far, the Democratic Republic of São Tomé and Príncipe is not included in such list, despite that the biodiversity of the isle of Príncipe has already been recognized by UNESCO through the Man and the Biosphere Programme [11]. The particular location of this small volcanic archipelago in the oceanic sector of the "Cameroon Volcanic Line" makes its outcrops subject of interest to the scientific community. But volcanoes are commonly gorgeous natural phenomena highly attractive for geoconservation research, as well as for geotouristic and geoeducational purposes [50,51]. The representativeness and uniqueness of some give them heritage value, as supported in this work, where ten geosites of the São Tomé Island were selected, described and evaluated on the basis of the heritage values they display: Cascata do Rio do Ouro, Boca do Inferno, Pico de Cão Grande, Lagoa Azul, Nascente

Queluz, Lagoa Amélia, Ilhéu das Rolas, Túnel de Santa Catarina, Ilhéu das Cabras, and Pico de São Tomé. When analyzed from a strictly academic perspective, they correspond to sites with volcanological and hydrological heritage, besides geomorphological, *i.e.*, geomorphosites [17].

Using a qualitative system of classification which integrates both the meaning attributed to the objects by scientific communities (“grade of relevance”) and the public understanding of such meanings related to its social use (“abstract perceptiveness”), the selected geosites display different heritage values which can be ranked into three categories of increasing importance: rank I—indicial value; rank II—documental, iconographic, and symbolic value; and rank III—conceptual and scenic value.

Most of the geosites have more than one type of value, its heritage value being then substantially increased, as well the need to implement appropriate measures to ensure their integrity. Moreover, the selected geosites are potentially usable for different purposes, namely scientific and educational, but mostly tourism. This is the case of Cascata do Rio do Ouro, Pico do Cão Grande, Lagoa Azul, Ilhéu das Rolas and Pico de São Tomé geosites, all displaying, among others, scenic value, an important requirement to support tourism activities.

Geotourism is an emergent type of tourism “which sustains and enhances the identity of a territory, taking into consideration its geology, environment, culture, aesthetics, heritage and well-being of its residents” [52]. This economic activity fosters geoheritage conservation through appropriate sustainability measures that increase the public understanding of the history of Life on Earth, including its dynamics and mechanisms, through interpretation and education [7]. The volcanological geoheritage of the São Tomé Island can be the driving force in the promotion of sustainable development. It can represent an important income for local communities if they become involved in its geological attractions as geo-tour guides, thus enriching an incipient nature-based tourism already in progress on the archipelago. The ten geosites characterized and evaluated in a previous work [53] and

now re-analyzed are likely to constitute the basis for the elaboration of one or several different geo-itineraries potentially satisfactory to a wide range of geotourist preferences, from specialized to non-specialized visitors. The required local support for its implementation, although difficult to achieve, would represent an important commitment towards the geoconservation of this “pearl” of the Cameron Line, a milestone of the Gondwana story that all 900 million Africans of every background and persuasion are aimed to draw “into co-curating, co-documenting and together keeping alive their continent’s unmatched heritage—an epic and unfolding story” [54].

References

1. Fitton JG, Dunlop HM. The Cameroon Line, West Africa and its bearing on the origin of oceanic and continental alkali basalt. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 1985; 72: 23–38.
2. Fitton JG. The Cameroon Line, West Africa: A comparison between oceanic and continental alkaline volcanism. In: Fitton JG, Upton BGJ, editors. *Alkaline Igneous Rocks*. London: Geological Society Special Publication. 1987; 30: 273–291.
3. Toteu SF, Anderson JM, de Wit M. Africa Alive Corridors: Forging a new future for the people of Africa by the people of Africa. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 2010; 58: 692–715.
4. Burke K. Origin of the Cameroon Line of Volcano-Capped Swells. *The Journal of Geology.* 2001; 109: 349–362.
5. Tefogoum GZ, Dongmo AK, Nkouathio DG, Wandji P, Dedzo MG. Geomorphological features of the Manengouba Volcano (Cameroon Line): Assets for potential geopark development. *Geoheritage.* 2014; 6: 225–239.
6. Zangmo GT, Kagou AD, Nkouathio DG, Gountié MD, Kamgang P. The Volcanic Geoheritage of the Mount Bamenda Calderas (Cameroon Line): Assessment for Geotouristic and Geoeducational Purposes. *Geoheritage.* 2017; 9: 255–278.
7. Dowling RK. Geotourism’s Global Growth. *Geoheritage.* 2012; 3: 1–13.

8. Henriques MH, Brilha J. UNESCO Global Geoparks: a strategy towards global understanding and sustainability. *Episodes*. 2017; 40: 349–355.
9. Reimold WU. Geoconservation—A southern African and African perspective. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 1999; 29: 469–483.
10. Henriques MH. Jurassic Heritage of Portugal: State of the art and open problems. *Riv. Ital. Paleontol. Stratigr.* 2004; 110: 389–392.
11. UNESCO. Natural Sciences. Environment. Ecological Sciences. Biosphere Reserves. Sao Tome and Principe. Available online at:
<http://www.unesco.org/new/en/natural-sciences/environment/ecological-sciences/biosphere-reserves/africa/sao-tome-and-principe/the-island-of-principe/>
12. Jones PJ. Biodiversity in the Gulf of Guinea. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 1994; 3: 772–784.
13. Lena Strauß L, Lima RF, Riesbeck F, Rödel MO. São Tomé Island Endemic Treefrogs (*Hyperolius* spp.) and Land-Use Intensification: A Tale of Hope and Caution. *Tropical Conservation Science*. 2018; 11: 1–14.
14. Peet NB, Atkinson PW. The biodiversity and conservation of the birds of São Tomé and Príncipe. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 1994; 3: 851–867.
15. Dowling RK. Geotourism Global Grow. *Geoheritage*. 2011; 3: 1–13.
16. Henriques MH, Pena dos Reis R, Brilha J, Mota T. Geoconservation as an Emerging Geoscience. *Geoheritage*. 2011; 3: 117–128.
17. Panizza M. Geomorphosites: Concepts, methods and examples of geomorphological survey. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 2001; 46: 4–5.
18. Martínez-Frías J, Mogessie A. The need for a geoscience education roadmap for Africa. *Episodes*. 2012; 35: 489–492.
19. Henriques MH, Andrade AIS, Lopes FC. The Earth Sciences among the Community of Portuguese-Speaking countries and the future of Gondwana. *Episodes*. 2013; 36: 255–262.

20. Njonfang E, Nono A, Kamgang P, Ngak V, Tchoua FM. Cameroon Line alkaline magmatism (Central Africa): A reappraisal. *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Paper.* 2011; 478: 173–191.
21. Halliday AN, Dickin AP, Fallick AE, Fitton JG. Mantle Dynamics: A Nd, Sr, Pb and O isotopic study of the Cameroon Line Volcanic Chain. *J. Petrol.* 1988; 29: 181–211.
22. Munhá JM, Afonso RS, Caldeira R, Mata J. Estudo geológico preliminar da Região Nordeste da ilha de S. Tomé (folha no. 2—Ana Chaves). *Garcia Orta—Sér. Geol.* 2002; 18: 1–8.
23. Caldeira R, Munhá JM. Petrology of ultramafic nodules from São Tomé Island, Cameroon Volcanic Line (oceanic sector). *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 2002; 34: 231–246.
24. Caldeira R, Madeira J, Munhá JM, Afonso RS, Mata J, et al. Caracterização das principais unidades volcano-estratigráficas da ilha de São Tomé, Golfo da Guiné. *Ciências da Terra* 2003, N° Esp. V, A15–A18. Available online at: <http://socgeol.org/documents/vi-congresso-nacional-de-geologia-caparica-2003>
25. Aka FT, Nagao K, Kusakabe M, Sumino H, Tanyileke G, et al. Symmetrical Helium isotope distribution on the Cameroon Volcanic Line, West Africa. *Chem. Geol.* 2004; 203: 205–223.
26. Fassoulas C, Mouriki D, Dimitriou-Nikolakis P, Iliopoulos G. Quantitative Assessment of Geotopes as an Effective Tool for Geoheritage Management. *Geoheritage.* 2012; 4: 177–193.
27. Reynard E, Coratza P. Scientific research on geomorphosites. A review of the activities of the IAG working group on geomorphosites over the last twelve years. *Geogr. Fis. Dinam. Quat.* 2013; 36: 159–168.
28. Pena dos Reis R, Henriques MH. Approaching an Integrated Qualification and Evaluation System for Geological Heritage. *Geoheritage.* 2009; 1: 1–10.
29. Henriques MH, Tavares AO, Bala ALM. The geological heritage of Tundavala (Angola): An integrated approach to its characterization. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 2013; 88: 62–71.

30. Mesterházy A, Browning J. A rare enigmatic sedge rediscovered: *Principina grandis* (Cyperaceae) newly recorded from São Tomé. *Kew Bull.* 2014; 69: 1–4.
31. Lachaise D, Chassagnard MT. Divergence of sex comb phenotypes in the *Drosophila fima* species group and radiation on Afrotropical *Ficus*, including five new species from East Africa and Madagascar (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Ann. Soc. Entomol. Fr.* 2002; 38: 79–99.
32. Csuzdi C. Earthworms (Annelida: Oligochaeta) of São Tomé. *J. Nat. Hist.* 2005; 39: 3039–3058.
33. Enroth J, Shevock JR. *Porotrichum saotomense* sp. nov. (Neckeraceae) and other additions to the moss flora of São Tomé & Príncipe, Gulf of Guinea, West Africa. *Trop. Bryol.* 2011; 33: 6–11.
34. Russoa D, Maglioc G, Rainhod A, Meyerd CFJ, Palmeirim JM. Out of the dark: Diurnal activity in the bat *Hipposideros ruber* on São Tomé Island (West Africa). *Mamm. Biol. Z. Säugetierkd.* 2001; 76: 701–708.
35. Aka FT, Kusakabe M, Nagao K, Tanyileke G. Noble gas isotopic compositions and water/gas chemistry of soda springs from the islands of Bioko, São Tomé and Annobon, along with Cameroon Volcanic Line, West Africa. *Appl. Geochem.* 2001; 16: 323–338.
36. Brilha J. Património Geológico e Geoconservação. A Conservação da Natureza na sua Vertente Geológica. Braga: Palimage. 2005; 1–183.
37. Planet Guest Pestana Sustainability. Relatório de Sustentabilidade. Grupo Pestana 2016. Available online at: https://www.pestanagroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/RELAT%C3%93RIO-DE-SUSTENTABILIDADE-2016_PT.pdf
38. Obo Natural Parks. Available online at: <https://web.archive.org/web/20120328073614/http://www.stp-parks.org/#>
39. Henriques MH, Tomaz C, Sá A. The Arouca Geopark (Portugal) as an educational resource: A case study. *Episodes.* 2012; 35: 481–488.
40. Becker K. São Tomé and Príncipe. Bucks: The Bradt Travel Guides. 2008; 1–232.

41. Warne S. Gabon, São Tomé and Príncipe. Bucks: The Bradt Travel Guides. 2003; 1–244.
42. Brilha J. Geoconservation and protected areas. *Environ. Conserv.* 2002; 29: 273–276.
43. Brito BR. Turismo em meio insular africano: Análise comparativa de impactos. Tourism in the African insular environment: A comparative analysis of impacts. *Ambiental MENTE Sustentável*. 2010; I: 157–177.
44. Hose T. 3 G's for modern geotourism. *Geoheritage*. 2012; 4: 7–24.
45. World Food Programme. São Tomé and Príncipe. Available online at: <https://www.wfp.org/countries/sao-tome-and-principe>
46. Newsome D, Johnson CP. Potential Geotourism and the Prospect of Raising Awareness About Geoheritage and Environment on Mauritius. *Geoheritage*. 2013; 5: 1–9.
47. Bruno DE, Crowley BE, Gutak JM, Moroni A, Nazarenko OV, et al. Paleogeography as geological heritage: Developing geosite classification. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 2014; 138: 300–312.
48. Oliveira M, Santos O. Património Natural de São Tomé e Príncipe. *Conhecer Para Preserva*. Leiria: Instituto Marquês de Valle Flôr. 2013; 1–12.
49. UNESCO World Heritage Centre. World Heritage List Statistics. Number of World Heritage Properties by Region. Available online at: <http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/stat#d1>
50. Németh K, Wu J, Sun C, Liu J. Update on the Volcanic Geoheritage Values of the Pliocene to Quaternary Arxan–Chaihe Volcanic Field, Inner Mongolia, China. *Geoheritage*. 2017; 9: 279–297.
51. Khalaf EEDAH, Wahed MA, Maged A, Mokhtar H. Volcanic Geosites and Their Geoheritage Values Preserved in Monogenetic Neogene Volcanic Field, Bahariya Depression, Western Desert, Egypt: Implication for Climatic Change-Controlling Volcanic Eruption. *Geoheritage*. 2019; 11: 855–873.
52. European Geoparks Network. The Arouca Declaration. 2012. Available online at: http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/SC/pdf/Geopark_Arouca_Declaration_EGN_2012.pdf

53. Henriques MH, Neto K. Geoheritage at the equator: selected geosites of São Tomé island (Cameron Line, Central Africa). *Sustainability*. 2015; 7: 648-667.
54. De Wit MJ, Anderson JM. Gondwana Alive Corridors: Extending Gondwana Research to Incorporate Stemming the Sixth Extinction. *Gondwana Res.* 2003; 6: 369–408.